

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ANTHONY MITCHELL, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HENDERSON, NEVADA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01154-APG-CWH

**ORDER TEMPORARILY SEALING
EXHIBITS**

(ECF No. 122)

10 The City of Henderson requests the sealing of three exhibits and all references to them in
11 deposition transcripts that are attached to one of the plaintiffs' filings. ECF No. 122. Blanket
12 sealing of entire documents is disfavored; rather, only specific portions of an exhibit should be
13 sealed unless the entire document is truly confidential. *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*,
14 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006). Henderson does not point out the specific portions of the exhibits
15 to be sealed, nor does it identify the pages and lines of the deposition transcripts. I have briefly
16 reviewed those exhibits, and significant portions do not appear to involve confidential
17 information. Nevertheless, the motion raises important issues of privacy.

18 As an interim solution, Henderson's motion to seal (ECF No. 122) is **TEMPORARILY**
19 **GRANTED. The clerk of court shall seal ECF Nos. 119-1, 119-2, 119-3, 119-4, 119-6, and**
20 **119-7.** The parties shall confer to determine which exhibits or portions thereof should remain
21 sealed. If an agreement is reached, the parties shall file a stipulation identifying those portions of
22 the exhibits that should be sealed and explaining why sealing is appropriate. If no agreement is
23 reached, Henderson shall file a supplement identifying those portions that should remain sealed
24 and why. The stipulation or supplement is due by February 15, 2017. The other parties may
25 respond to Henderson's supplement within 10 days thereafter.

26 DATED this 1st day of February, 2017.

27
28


ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE