

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8           **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9  
10          SHIGE TAKIGUCHI, FUMI NONAKA,         )        2:13-cv-01183-JAD-VCF  
11          MITSUAKI TAKITA, KAORUKO KOIZUMI,    )  
12          TATSURO SAKAI, SHIZUKO ISHIMORI,     )  
13          YOKO HATANO, YUKO NAKAMURA,         )  
14          HIDEHITO MIURA, YOSHIKO TAZAKI,      )  
15          MASAAKI MORIYA, HATSUNE HATANO,     )  
16          SATORU MORIYA, HIDENAO TAKAMA,      )  
17          SHIGERU KURISU, SAKA ONO,            )  
18          KAZUHIRO MATSUMOTO, KAYA            )  
19          HATANAKA, HIROKA YAMAJIRI,         )  
20          KIYOHARU YAMAMOTO, JUNKO            )  
21          YAMAMOTO, KOICHI INOUE, AKIKO     )  
22          NARUSE, TOSHIMASA NOMURA, and     )  
23          RITSU YURIKUSA, Individually and    )  
24          on Behalf of All Others Similarly )  
25          Situated,                            )  
26    )  
27          Plaintiffs,                        )  
28    )  
29          vs.                                )  
30    )  
31          MRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., EDWIN J )  
32          FUJINAGA, JUNZO SUZUKI, PAUL    )  
33          MUSASHI SUZUKI, LVT, INC., dba   )  
34          STERLING ESCROW, and DOES 1-500, )  
35    )  
36          Defendants.                        )  
37          


---

38          On October 4, 2013, defendants Edwin Fujinaga and MRI  
39          International, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' second  
40          amended complaint (#102). On October 16, 2013, defendants Junzo  
41          Suzuki and Paul Musashi Suzuki also filed a motion to dismiss the  
42          plaintiffs' second amended complaint (#102).

1 second amended complaint (#105). On May 6, 2014, the court granted  
2 plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs filed  
3 their third amended complaint on June 6, 2014. The amended  
4 complaint supersedes plaintiffs' prior complaints. *Valadez-Lopez*  
5 v. *Chertoff*, 656 F.3d 851, 857 (9th Cir. 2011). Accordingly, the  
6 motions to dismiss the second amended complaint (#102, #105) are  
7 **DENIED AS MOOT.**

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 DATED: This 18th day of June, 2014.

10   
11 

---

  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28