those that the proposed sales price was substantially less than the actual value of the property. At a hearing on December 15, 2015, 3 the court deferred ruling on the motion pending submission of an 4 appraisal by the Suzukis as to the value of the property. 5 court also allowed plaintiffs to submit their own appraisal. court directed the Suzukis to file an appraisal on or before 6 7 January 25, 2016. The Suzukis have failed to do so. Plaintiffs, 8 however, have filed an appraisal, which values 1550 Hoaaina St. at one million one hundred and forty-five thousand dollars (Doc. 10 #354). Accordingly, on the basis of the only appraisal before the 11 court, which shows the appraised value is substantially greater 12 than the proposed sales price, the court is not satisfied that the 13 proposed sales price is reasonably related to the value of the 14 property. The renewed motion to permit the sale of 1550 Hoaaina 15 St. (#253) is therefore denied without prejudice. 16

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 4th day of February, 2016.

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Howard DMEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE