Bradley v. Attorney General of the State of Nevada

Doc. 27

Dockets.Justia.com

There have been no prior enlargements of Respondents' time to file said response, and this motion is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of September, 2014.

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General

By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Conner JEFFREY M. CONNER Deputy Attorney General

IT IS SO ORDERED.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Judge DATED this 16th day of October, 2014.

2	JEFFREY M. CONNER
3	Deputy Attorney General Appellate Division
4	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
5	Telephone: (775) 684-1200 Attorney for Respondents
6	Attorney for Respondents
7	
8	IN THI
9	F
10	

Attorney General

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CARL BRADLEY,

Petitioner,

Vs.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01196-RFB-GWF

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

JEFFREY M. CONNER

- 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General employed by the Attorney General's Office of the State of Nevada in the Appellate Division, and I make this declaration on behalf of Respondents' motion for enlargement of time in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. By this motion, I am requesting a fourteen (14) day enlargement of time, to and including October 6, 2014, to comply with this Court's order of July 24, 2014, requiring a responsive pleading addressing the issue of timeliness only. (ECF No. 10.) This is my first request for an enlargement.
- 3. Since this Court ordered Respondents to file a responsive pleading addressing the issue of timeliness, I have been busy working on this case and other federal and state habeas corpus matters, including: White v. Neven, Case No. 12-15033 (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals); Mack v. Baker, Case No. 3:12-cv-00104; Estes v. LaGrand, Case No. 3:13-cv-00072; Ford v. Williams, Case No. 2:13-cv-00087; Casillas-Gutierrez v. LeGrand, Case No. 3:13-cv-00448; Chambers v. Neven, Case No. 2:13-cv-00489; Duke v. Neven, Case No. 2:13-cv-00688; Alcaraz v. Williams,

Case No. 2:13 cv-00818; <u>Tagle v. Neven</u>, Case No. 2:13-cv-01832; <u>White v. McDaniel</u>, Case No. 01-01269C (First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada); and <u>Edwards v. Warden</u>, Case No. PI 08-0659 (Sixth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada). As a result of my busy schedule, Respondents require additional time to complete a response that complies with this Court's July 24, 2014 order. Accordingly, Respondents respectfully request that this Court issue an order granting Respondents an extension of fourteen (14) days, to and including October 6, 2014, to file their response.

4. This motion for enlargement of time is made in good faith and not for the purpose of unduly delaying the ultimate disposition of this case

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Conner JEFFREY M. CONNER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General and that on this 22nd day of September, 2014, I served a copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIRST REQUEST), by placing said document in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

> Carl Bradley #43838-048 USP P. O. Box 1000 Lewisburg, PA 17837

> > /s/ Laurie Sparman