

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| SCOTT FADEM, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff(s), |) | Case No. 2:13-cv-01213-RCJ-NJK |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | ORDER |
| |) | |
| AMERICAN STATES PREFERRED |) | |
| INSURANCE COMPANY, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant(s). |) | |

Before the Court is the Protective Order, which the Court approved to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit’s directives in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures, and with a few exceptions not applicable here, the Clerk of the Court no longer maintains paper records. Special Order 109 requires the Clerk of the Court to maintain the official files for all cases filed on or after November 7, 2005, in electronic form. The electronic record constitutes the official record of the court. Attorneys must file documents under seal using the Court’s electronic filing procedures. *See* LR 10-5(b). That rule provides:

Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court’s electronic filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case number: “FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED _____.” All papers filed under seal will remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed pursuant to Local Rule.

1 *Id.* Documents filed under seal are not accessible to the public.

2 The Court has approved the parties' protective order to facilitate their discovery
3 exchanges. But the parties have not shown, and the Court has not found, that any specific
4 documents are secret or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by
5 declarations or concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any
6 specific trade secret or other confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would
7 cause an identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of
8 public access to judicial files and records and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of
9 documents attached to nondispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the
10 presumption of public access. *See Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the
11 secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to
12 overcome the presumption of public access. *Id.* at 1180.

13 If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated
14 a document as confidential, the opposing party (or non-party) shall file a declaration establishing
15 good cause for the sealing along with a proposed order, or shall withdraw the designation. The
16 declaration shall be filed within seven days of service on the opposing party (or non-party) of the
17 request for a sealing order. If the declaration is not filed as required, the Court may order the
18 document filed in the public record.

19 **IT IS ORDERED** that the parties shall comply with the requirements of LR 10-5(b), the
20 Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above, with
21 respect to any documents filed under seal.

22
23 DATED: January 6, 2014.

24
25 
26 _____
27 NANCY J. KOPPE
28 United States Magistrate Judge