

that is the subject of the action; (2) the disposition of the action may, as a practical matter, impair
or impede the applicant's ability to protect its interest; (3) the application is timely; and (4) the
existing parties may not adequately represent the applicant's interest." *United States v. Alisal Water Corp.*, 370 F.3d 915, 919 (9th Cir. 2004). The party seeking to intervene bears the burden of
showing that *all* the requirements for intervention have been met. *Id.*; *see also United States v. Aerojet Gen. Corp.*, 606 F.3d 1142, 1149 (9th Cir. 2010) ("Under Rule 24(a)(2), the burden of
showing inadequate representation is on the applicant . . .").

8 The Court finds that the pending motion does not sufficiently address the controlling 9 standards.¹ Of particular note, Movant fails to provide any explanation as to how the existing parties 10 do not adequately represent its interests.² Indeed, Movant seeks intervention to file a joinder to the 11 pending motion to dismiss and release the *lis pendens*, which Movant indicates "adequately set[s] 12 forth" the grounds supporting that relief. *See* Docket No. 28 at 3.³

13 III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed more fully above, the motion to intervene is hereby **DENIED**without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 3, 2013

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge

21 22

16

17

18

19

20

¹ The only authority cited in the motion is Fed. R. Civ. P. 24.

28

² "This Court considers three factors in determining the adequacy of representation: (1) whether the interest of a present party is such that it will undoubtedly make all of a proposed intervenor's arguments; (2) whether the present party is capable and willing to make such arguments; and (3) whether a proposed intervenor would offer any necessary elements to the proceeding that other parties would neglect." *Aerojet General*, 606 F.3d at 1153 (quoting *Arakaki v. Cayetano*, 324 F.3d 1078, 1086 (9th Cir. 2003)).

 ³ Although the Court highlights herein the inadequacy regarding the representation requirement, it makes no findings that the motion is otherwise meritorious. Instead, to the extent Movant renews its motion, it should make a fuller showing as to all of the required elements.