Rowland v. California Men's Unit II Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993). A court may sanction a fictional defendant by striking its answer and directing that a default be entered against that defendant when it fails to retain counsel to represent it in litigation. See Galtieri- Carlson v. Victoria M. Morton Enters., Inc., 2010 WL 3386473 (E.D.Cal. Aug. 26, 2010) (sanctioning corporate defendants by striking their answer when they failed to retain alternate counsel after the withdrawal of their original counsel); Rojas v. Hawgs Seafood Bar, Inc., 2009 WL 1255538 (N.D. Cal. May 5, 2009) ("When a corporation fails to retain counsel to represent it in an action, its answer may be stricken and a default judgment entered against it"). As the Motion to Dismiss was not filed by an attorney, it is a rogue document and shall be denied on that basis.

Ultra has also failed to include a memorandum of points and authorities in support of its motion and has therefore not complied with Local Rule 7-2(a). Local Rule 7-2(d) states that "[t]he failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in support of the motion shall constitute a consent to the denial of the motion." Ultra has offered points but no authorities; indeed, the motion reads more like an answer than a motion to dismiss. See Doc. 56. Therefore, the motion is denied on this basis as well.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Ultra Entertainment's Motion to Dismiss [#56] is DENIED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Ultra Entertainment shall have up through and including **December 31, 2013**, to retain counsel and have that counsel file an answer on its behalf. Ultra Entertainment is strongly cautioned that failure to retain counsel and have counsel file a proper answer on its behalf by this deadline may result in the entry of default and a potential default judgment entered against it.

December 9, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28