UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 THE GUARANTEE COMPANY OF 2:13-cv-01583-JAD-CWH NORTH AMERICA, Order to Show Cause Why Stay Should 5 Plaintiff Not Be Lifted and Case Should Not Be **Dismissed Consistent with the Bankruptcy** 6 Court's 8/18/14 Discharge Order and v. 7 10/20/14 Final Decree ANTHONY BARAJAS, et al., 8 Defendants 9 10 The Guarantee Company of North America ("Guarantee") issued performance, payment, 11 and commercial licensing bonds on behalf of Barajas & Associates, Inc. for several construction projects in the State of Nevada. It sues Anthony and Elizabeth Barajas, both individually and as 12 trustees of the Barajas Family Trust Dated 05/25/10, for their alleged failure to honor an 13 indemnity agreement that arose as part of that surety relationship.¹ 14 15 I stayed this case after the Barajases filed notice on April 21, 2014, that they had petitioned for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy 16 Court for the District of Nevada (Case No. 14-12704-abl).² I then instructed the parties to 17 provide a status report by March 13, 2015. Guarantee timely responded stating that "[t]his case 18 shall remain stayed due to the pending bankruptcy. . . . "4 I then ordered the parties to file a 19 second status report by February 2, 2016. But that deadline passed without either side 20 21 complying. 22 23 24 ¹ ECF 1. 25 ² ECF 20. 26 ³ ECF 21. 27 28 ⁴ ECF 22 at 2. ⁵ ECF 23.

This silence prompted me to review the docket in the bankruptcy case. I was surprised to discover that the bankruptcy court had granted the Barajases a discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727 on August 18, 2014,⁶ and entered final decree on October 20, 2014, discharging the Chapter 7 trustee from her duties and closing the bankruptcy case.⁷ Both events occurred months before Guarantee advised me that this case needed to remain stayed due to the Barajases' "pending" bankruptcy case.⁸

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff The Guarantee Company of North America has until Thursday, March 3, 2016, to show cause why (1) the bankruptcy stay should not be lifted and (2) this case should not be dismissed consistent with the bankruptcy court's August 18, 2014, discharge order and October 20, 2014, final decree. If plaintiff does not file a document showing good cause by March 3, 2016, this case will be dismissed in its entirety without further notice and without prejudice.

DATED: February 4, 2016

Jennifer A. Dorsey United States District Judge

⁶ 14-12704-abl, ECF 43.

^{27 14-12704-}abl, ECF 47.

⁸ ECF 22.