

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SANDRA B. TOODLE,  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
  
v.  
  
PRESIDENT BARRACK OBAMA, et  
al.,  
  
Defendant(s).

2:13-CV-1619 JCM (CWH)

**ORDER**

Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hoffman. (Doc. # 4). No objections have been filed even though the deadline has passed.

In the report, Magistrate Judge Hoffman stated that plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* did not include plaintiff’s income over the past twelve months. Plaintiff also failed to amend her application prior to the deadline. Judge Hoffman therefore recommended that this action be dismissed.

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

...

