

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

2:13-CV-1633 JCM (NJK)

GREGORY DANAHER,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

FREDERICK J. HANA AND
ASSOCIATES, P.C.,

Defendant(s).

ORDER

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation. (Doc. # 5).

On September 24, 2013, the magistrate judge found that plaintiff’s complaint failed to state a claim and allowed plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint nor requested an extension of time. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply with the screening order may result in the dismissal of his complaint. Plaintiff has failed to comply with the screening order, and the magistrate recommends the complaint be dismissed. No objections to the recommendation have been filed and the deadline to do so has passed.

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.”

**James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge**

