1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL I, LLC, 8 Case No. 2:13-cv-1689-APG-NJK Plaintiff(s), 9 ORDER DENYING DISCOVERY VS. 10 **PLAN** WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al., 11 (Docket No. 36) Defendant(s). 12 13 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 36. The discovery plan seeks additional time based on the pendency of the motion to dismiss, motion for 14 15 summary judgment, and motion to expunge lis pendens. See id. at 3. However, it appears from the plan that the parties do not intend to conduct discovery during the pendency of those motions. See id. 16 17 (indicating that initial disclosures will not be made until 14 days after a decision on the above motions 18 is issued). As the Court has previously indicated, "[t]he filing of [dispositive motions] does not 19 automatically stay the requirement to diligently conduct discovery." See Docket No. 32 (citing 20 Tradebay v. eBay, 278 F.R.D. 597, 600 (D. Nev. 2011). Accordingly, the pendency of those motions is 21 not cause for an extended discovery period and the proposed discovery plan is hereby DENIED. 22 No later than November 21, 2013, the parties shall submit a revised discovery plan in 23 accordance with the Local Rules. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED: November 19, 2013 26 27 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge 28