

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JULIUS BRADFORD,
Petitioner,
v.
JEREMY BEAN, *et al.*,
Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01784-RFB-EJY

ORDER

In this habeas corpus action, the *pro se* petitioner, Julius Bradford, filed a third amended habeas petition on April 2, 2024. ECF No. 227. Respondents were due to file a response to Bradford’s third amended petition by November 22, 2024. See ECF Nos. 229, 231, 234, 236. On November 25, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 237), requesting an extension to December 2. On December 2, Respondents filed a motion to dismiss within the time contemplated in the motion for extension of time. ECF No. 238.

In their motion for extension of time, Respondents’ counsel states that they filed the motion after the due date to be extended because of computer problems, and that the extension of time is necessary to effectively represent Respondents’ interests. The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time. The Court will grant the motion for extension of time.

Bradford’s response to the motion to dismiss will be due on January 31, 2025. See Scheduling Order, ECF No. 229 (60 days for response to motion to dismiss).

///

///

///

///

///

///

