

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DESERT SUN ENTERPRISES LIMITED )  
d/b/a CONVENTION TECHNICAL SERVICES, )  
a Nevada limited-liability company, )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF )  
ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL )  
UNION 357, *et al.*, )  
Defendants. )

Case No. 2:13-cv-01885-MMD-NJK  
ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (Docket No. 48), which the Court approved to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures, and with a few exceptions not applicable here, the Clerk of the Court no longer maintains paper records. Special Order 109 requires the Clerk of the Court to maintain the official files for all cases filed on or after November 7, 2005, in electronic form. The electronic record constitutes the official record of the court. Attorneys must file documents under seal using the Court's electronic filing procedures. *See* Local Rule 10-5(b). That rule provides:

Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents

1 under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic filing  
2 procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers  
3 shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case number:  
4 "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED \_\_\_\_\_."  
5 All papers filed under seal will remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny  
6 the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed  
7 pursuant to Local Rule.

8 *Id.* Documents filed under seal are not accessible to the public.

9 The Court has approved the blanket protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges. But there  
10 has been no showing, and the Court has not found, that any specific documents are secret or confidential.  
11 The parties have not provided specific facts supported by declarations or concrete examples to establish  
12 that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other confidential information  
13 pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth  
14 Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records and that parties  
15 seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to nondispositive motions must show good  
16 cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. *See Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties  
17 seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling  
18 reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public access. *Id.*, at 1180.<sup>1</sup>

19 If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated  
20 a document as subject to protection pursuant to the stipulated protective order, the movant must notify  
21 the opposing party (or non-party) at least seven days prior to filing the designated document. The  
22 designating party must then make a good faith determination if the relevant standard for sealing is met.  
23 To the extent the designating party does not believe the relevant standard for sealing can be met, it shall  
24 indicate that the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving notice of the  
25 intended filing. To the extent the designating party believes the relevant standard for sealing can be met,  
26 it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than four days after receiving notice of

---

27 1

28 Additional information regarding the requirements for filing under seal can be found at *The  
Vaccine Ctr. LLC v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 68298 (D. Nev. May 14, 2013)  
(discussing, *inter alia*, the standards for sealing, standard for redaction rather than sealing entire  
documents, and impact of the stipulated protective order on a motion to seal).

1 the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach that declaration to its motion to seal the designated  
2 material. If the designating party fails to provide such a declaration in support of the motion to seal, the  
3 filing party shall file a motion to seal so indicating and the Court may order the document filed in the  
4 public record.<sup>2</sup>

5 **IT IS ORDERED** that counsel shall comply with the requirements of Local Rule 10-5(b), the  
6 Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above, with respect  
7 to any documents filed under seal. To the extent any aspect of the stipulated protective order may  
8 conflict with this order or Local Rule 10-5(b), that aspect of the stipulated protective order is hereby  
9 superseded with this order.

10 DATED: July 2, 2014.

11  
12 

13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 NANCY J. KOPPE  
15 United States Magistrate Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>2</sup>

27 In the event of an emergency motion, the above procedures shall not apply. Instead, the movant  
28 shall file a motion to seal and the designating party shall file a declaration in support of that motion to  
seal within three days of its filing. If the designating party fails to timely file such a declaration, the  
Court may order the document filed in the public record.