1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	JEFFREY L. DRYDEN,	CASE NO.: 2:13-CV-1896-RCJ-PAL
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	ORDER
11	ANDREA BAREFIELD, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge (#6¹) entered or	
15	October 23, 2014, recommending that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (#5) be treated as the	
16	operative pleading and screen it. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and	
17	Recommendation (#9) on November 12, 2014.	
18	The Court has conducted it's de novo review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
19	the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant	
20	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's	
21	Report and Recommendation (#6) entered on October 23, 2014, should be ADOPTED AND	
22	ACCEPTED.	
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation, Fourteenth Amendment	
24	equal protection, and Nevada law intrusion claims are DISMISSED.	
25		
26	///	
27		
28		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against all Defendants in their official capacities be DISMISSED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 ARE DISMISSED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2015.