the request for extension of time he improperly filed in the underlying bankruptcy case. Nor did he file an opening brief by January 8, 2014, in accordance with the second request for extension

24

25

Doc. 8

of time he again improperly filed in the underlying bankruptcy case. Appellees have moved to
dismiss the appeal. Appellant has not timely responded. The Court grants the motion for failure
to prosecute the appeal. See Local .R. Bankr. P. 8070(a).
CONCLUSION
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 3) is GRANTED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appeal is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute, and
the Clerk shall close the case.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated this 17 th day of April, 2014.
Janes
ROBZIKT C. JONES United States District Judge

25