

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

THE INDEPENDENCE PROJECT, INC., et al., )  
 )  
Plaintiff(s), )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
HERBST DEVELOPMENT, LLC, )  
 )  
Defendant(s). )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No. 2:13-cv-1977-JCM-NJK  
**ORDER DENYING STIPULATION  
TO EXTEND**  
(Docket No. 9)

Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the deadline to file a response to the complaint. Docket No. 9. Stipulations to extend Court deadlines are not effective absent Court approval. *See* Local Rule 7-1(b). A showing of “good cause” is required for requests to extend deadlines. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). The pending stipulation provides no reason whatsoever for the requested extension, so the Court cannot find good cause exists. Accordingly, it is hereby DENIED without prejudice.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.  
DATED: December 3, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> The Court recently explained to counsel for both parties here that stipulations for extensions must contain the reason for the extension. *See Independence Project Inc. v. Spring Oaks Shopping Center*, 2:13-cv-1446-JCM-NJK (Docket No. 11) (“Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend the deadline to file an answer. Docket No. 10. Stipulations to extend Court deadlines are not effective absent Court approval. *See* Local Rule 7-1(b). A showing of “good cause” is required for requests to extend deadlines. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). . . . The parties provide no reason whatsoever for the requested extension, so the Court cannot find good cause exists.”)