Brass v. Williams et	al I	Doc. 96
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
4	* * *	
5	GEORGE BRASS,	Case No. 2:13-cv-02020-GMN-VCF
6	v. Petitioner,	ORDER
7	BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,	
8	Respondents.	
9		
10	Petitioner has filed a letter with the Court seeking a ten-day extension of time to file his	
11	reply and appointment of new counsel. (ECF Nos. 93 & 94). Petitioner's counsel has responded,	
12	indicating that he believes petitioner's dissatisfaction with counsel stems from an apparent	
13	misunderstanding. (ECF No. 95). Counsel indicates that a ten-day extension of time is appropriate	
14	so that he and petitioner can meet and attempt to resolve the misunderstanding; counsel further	
15	indicates that respondents have no opposition to an extension of time. (Id.)	
16	Good cause appearing, petitioner's motion for an extension of time to file his reply (ECF	
17	No. 94) is GRANTED. Petitioner shall have to and including November 5, 2018, to file his reply	
18	to respondents' answer to his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition in this case.	
19	It is further ordered that, on or before November 5, 2018, petitioner shall advise the Court	
20	whether he continues to seek appointment of new counsel or whether the motion for appointment	
21	of new counsel should be withdrawn.	
22	The Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this order on the petitioner at his last institutional	
23	address of record.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	DATED THIS <u>25</u> day of <u>October</u> , 2018.	
27	fonday	
28	GLORIA M. NAVARRO UNIPED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	1	I

Dockets.Justia.com