1	STEVEN A. CALOIARO				
2	Nevada Bar No. 12344 Caloiaro@soclawlodi.com				
3	EURIK O'BRYANT Nevada State Bar Number 13554				
4	OBryant@SocLawLodi.Com Sekhon & O'Bryant,				
5	A Professional Law Corporation				
6	State Resident Office: 297 Kingsbury Grade				
7	297 Kingsbury Grade S. Lake Tahoe, California 89449				
8	Mailing Address: 18826 North Lower Sacramento Rd. Suite K				
9	Woodbridge, California 95258 Tel: (775) 220-1889				
10	Fax: (209) 989-4438				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff SATA GmbH & Co. KG				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
14	SATA GmbH & Co. KG, a German	CASE NO : 2:12 02042 ABC NIK			
15	corporation,	CASE NO.: 2:13-cv-02042-APG-NJK			
16	Plaintiff,	ORDER HOLDING DEFENDANT WENZHOU T&E INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. IN CONTEMPT			
17	V.	OF COURT			
18	Wenzhou T&E Industrial Co., Ltd.				
19	Defendant.	while Co. KC ("SATA") Londoned defendent			
20	At the request of plaintiff SATA GmbH & Co. KG ("SATA"), I ordered defendant				
21		to show cause why it should not be held in contempt			
22	for violating my October 26, 2016 Final Judgment. ECF No. 61. Wenzhou did not respond to my				
23	order to show cause and it did not appear at the hearing. For the reasons discussed below, and				
24	based on the findings and conclusions set forth herein, I hold T&E in contempt of court.				
25	I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY				
25 26	On November 5, 2013, SATA filed a complaint against T&E alleging that it had				
20 27	unlawfully displayed and offered for sale counterfeit paint spray guns and counterfeit paint spray				
27	gun reservoirs that willfully infringed SATA's U.S. trademarks and design patents. ECF No. 1. I				
20	granted SATA's Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order, which T&E did not				
		1			

## oppose. ECF No. 10.

2	After T&E failed to respond to the Complaint, SATA obtained a Default against it. ECF
3	No. 31. On October 22, 2014, I issued a Final Judgment against T&E. ECF No. 51. The Final
4	Judgment provided statutory compensatory damages for T&E's willful infringement and
5	attorneys' fees. Id. In the Final Judge judgment, I also issued a permanent injunction enjoining,
6	Wenzhou, and its officers, agents, servants, employees, confederates, attorneys, and any persons acting in concert or participation with any of them including,
7	without limitation, third parties providing services used in connection with
8	Wenzhou's operations and websites owned or controlled by Wenzhou (including, without limitation, the website at <a href="http://www.teautotools.com">http://www.teautotools.com</a> ), including,
9	Internet service providers ("ISPs"), domain name registries, domain name registrars, banks and other financial institutions, merchant account providers and
10	payment processors (such as PayPal, Inc., Western Union), and any other payment processing service having knowledge of this Order by service or actual
11	notice ("Restrained Parties") are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained
12	from:
13	a. Using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the SATA Marks in commerce including, without limitation: (i) by selling, offering
14	for sale, distributing, promoting, or advertising any good or service in connection with such reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the SATA
15	Marks; (ii) by displaying any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable
16	imitation of the SATA Marks on the website accessible through the domain name or any other website owned or controlled by Wenzhou or that display Wenzhou's
17	products (collectively, the "Enjoined Websites"); or (iii) by displaying any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the SATA Marks at any
18	trade show in the United States, including but not limited to, at any future Automobile Aftermarket Products Expo ("AAPEX") trade show; and
19	b. Manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing into the United
20	States, portable electronic device cases embodying designs that are the same as or
21	substantially similar to the designs claimed in U.S. design patents 459,432 S1 and 459,433 S1, including, without limitation, by: (i) offering to sell and selling such
22	products to individuals or companies in the United States through the Enjoined Websites or otherwise; or (ii) offering to sell or selling such products at any trade
23	show in the United States, including, but not limited to, at any future AAPEX show; and
24	
25	ECF No. 51, p. 2.
26	On November 2, 2016, SATA discovered that T&E was again unlawfully displaying and
27	offering for sale a counterfeit paint spray gun that infringed upon SATA's trademarks and design
28	patents in violation of the Final Judgment. SATA also uncovered marketing materials containing
	2

1	images of counterfeit paint spray guns. SATA reviewed T&E's website		
2	( <u>http://www.teautotools.com</u> ), which is expressly mentioned and enjoined in the Final Judgment.		
3	ECF No. 51. SATA discovered that the enjoined website is unlawfully displaying and offering		
4	for sale a counterfeit paint spray gun that violates the Final Judgment and infringes SATA's U.S.		
5	trademarks and patents.		
6	As a result, SATA filed its Application for an Order to Show Cause as to why T&E		
7	should not be held in Contempt of Court. ECF No. 58. I ordered T&E to appear and show cause.		
8	ECF No. 61. T&E did not file an opposition to SATA's Application, did not respond to my		
9	Order, and did not appear at the February 9, 2017show cause hearing. ECF No. 65.		
10	II. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSION OF LAW		
11	1. The Factual Background and Procedural History above is hereby incorporated in its		
12	entirety into these findings of fact and conclusions of law.		
13	2. T&E violated my Order (ECF No. 61) to appear before and show cause why it should		
14	not be held in contempt of court for violating the permanent injunction.		
15	3. T&E offered for sale several products that infringe upon SATA's trademark rights in		
16	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 2,770,801; 2,793,583; 3,072,417; and 2,774,593,		
17	and SATA's patent rights in United States Patent Nos. USD 459,432, USD 459,433,		
18	and USD 644,716.		
19	4. T&E's conduct has at all times been willful, intentional, malicious and in blatant		
20	disregard for SATA's Intellectual Property and my Orders, including the permanent		
21	injunction. T&E at all times was aware that its acts constituted willful infringement.		
22	5. T&E's recent conduct is especially egregious given that this is the second-time it has		
23			
24	infringed upon the same trademarks and patents at issue in this action.		
25	6. T&E's conduct constitutes a violation of the permanent injunction. ECF No. 51.		
26	7. T&E's goods contained spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially		
27	indistinguishable from, SATA's federally registered marks.		
28			
	3		

1	8. T&E failed to show cause why it should not be held in contempt of court for violating	
2	the permanent injunction.	
3	9. Pursuant to my inherent powers to issue compensatory sanctions, SATA is entitled to	
4	damages for T&E's contempt. 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) allows for statutory damages of up	
5	to \$2,000,000.00 per counterfeit mark per type of goods or services sold, offered for	
6	sale, or distributed, when the infringement was committed willfully.	
7	10. In awarding damages set forth in the Final Judgment (ECF No. 51), I found that	
8	T&E's conduct was willful; I awarded damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) in the	
9	amount of \$2,000,000.00. ECF Nos. 42, and 51.	
10	11. Based on the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and the findings herein, I find	
11	that T&E acted willfully and deliberately in violating the Permeant Injunction with	
12	full knowledge of the wrongful nature of its actions. I therefore award SATA	
13	damages and sanctions in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 for each of the fifteen	
14	violations, for a total amount of \$15,000,000.00.	
15	12. Pursuant to my inherent powers and 15 U.S.C. 1117(a), SATA is entitled to an award	
16	of attorney fees and costs. Based upon the supplemental declaration of SATA's	
17	counsel, I award fees of \$11,951.34. SATA's total award is \$15,011,951.34.	
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant Wenzhou T&E Industrial Co., Ltd. is in	
19	contempt for violating my October 26, 2016 Final Judgment. Defendant Wenzhou T&E	
20	Industrial Co., Ltd. is ordered to pay SATA \$15,011,951.34.	
21	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the goods seized by SATA during the 2016 SEMA	
22	tradeshow lack commercial value and make a sale by auction impractical. Pursuant to NRS	
23	21.230, the goods shall be applied to SATA's debt, SATA shall deduct \$15,000.00 from the total	
24	damages owed by T&E, and SATA shall file a partial satisfaction of judgment indicating that.	
25	Que	
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Entered this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2017	
27		
28		
	4	