McCoy v. USA	l	Doç. 8
1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
5	* * *	
6	DARREN LAMONT McCOY,	Case Nos. 2:11-cr-00438-MMD-CWH
7	Petitioner,	2:13-cv-02343-MMD
8		ORDER
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
10	Respondent.	
11	Before the Court is pro se Petitioner Darren Lamont McCoy's motion to vacate, set	
12	aside, or correct sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 ("Motion"). (ECF No. 235.) ¹ The Court	
13	denies Petitioner's Motion because he previously submitted another motion to vacate	
14	under Section 2255 in 2013 (ECF No. 119), and he has not obtained an order from the	
15	Court of Appeals authorizing this Court to consider a second petition under the same	
16	statute.	
17	Before this Court may consider a second or successive motion under 28 U.S.C. §	
18	2255, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals must certify the second or successive motion	
19	according to the requirements under 28 U.S.C. § 2244. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h); Jones	
20	v. United States, 36 F.4th 974, 981 (9th Cir. 2022). To obtain certification, the applicant	
21	must "move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court	
22	to consider the application." 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). The applicant must demonstrate that	
23	the successive motion contains either: (1) newly discovered evidence that, if proven and	
24	viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and	
25	convincing evidence that no reasonable factfinder would have found the movant guilty of	
26	the offense; or (2) a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral	
27		
28	¹ The Court cites to the docket i Petitioner's criminal case number. Petitioner' cv-02343-MMD. (ECF No. 119.)	n Case No. 2:11-cr-00438-MMD-CWH-1, s related civil case is open as Case No. 2:13-

1	review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable. 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). ²		
2	McCoy's Motion has not been certified by the Circuit.		
3	It is therefore ordered that Petitioner McCoy's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or		
4	Correct Sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (ECF No. 235) is denied for lack of certification.		
5	If Petitioner wishes for the Court to hear this or another successive motion under Section		
6	2255, he should first move for certification in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals by		
7	demonstrating that he has met the requirements of Section 2255(h).		
8	DATED THIS 28 th Day of September 2023.		
9	1. la		
10	MIRANDA M. DU		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	² The Court also notes that a one-year statute of limitations period begins to run according to the considerations in 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f) and, in the case of a second or		
27	successive petition, this limitations period generally depends on the date on which new relevant evidence could have been discovered or on which the Supreme Court recognizes		
28	a new right that forms the basis of the petition. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2255(f)(2)-(4).		
	2		