I

1 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 *** 3 *** 3 KARL GARRETT BIELL, 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 v. 6 v. 6 ORDER 7 Defendants. 9 Unit of the second secon	\PG-GWF
3 *** 3 KARL GARRETT BIELL, 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 v. 6 v. 6 GARABET K. KHOURJIAN, et al., 0 Defendants. (Dkt. #4)	APG-GWF
4KARL GARRETT BIELL, Plaintiff,Case No. 2:13-CV-02346-A5V.6v.6V.6GARABET K. KHOURJIAN, et al., Defendants.80	APG-GWF
5 Plaintiff, 6 v. 6 GARABET K. KHOURJIAN, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 Defendants.	
6 v. 6 GARABET K. KHOURJIAN, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 0	
7 GARABET K. KHOURJIAN, et al., (Dkt. #4) 8 Defendants. 9	
8 Defendants. 9	
9	
10 On July 3, 2014, Magistrate Judge Foley entered a Report & Recommend	lation (Dkt #4)
11 recommending I dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice. No objection I	
 nevertheless conducted a de novo review of the issues set forth in the Report & R 	
 Judge Foley's Report & Recommendation sets forth the proper legal analysis and 	
14 the decision.	
15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report & Recommendation (Dk	t. #4) is accepted
and Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.	
17 DATED this 20th day of January, 2015.	
18	
19 ANDREW P. GORDON	
20 UNITED STATES DISTRIC	CT JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	