Luczak v. Farnham Doc. 5

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

2:13-CV-2362 JCM (CWH)

CASEY LUCZAK,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

DAVID J. FARNHAM,

Defendant(s).

ORDER

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation. (Doc. # 4). The magistrate recommends that plaintiff's complaint (doc. # 1) be dismissed for failure to state a claim. No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed and the deadline in which to object has expired.

This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	2
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	3
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	4
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	5
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	6
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	7
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	8
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	9
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	10
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	11
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	12
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	13
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	14
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	15
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	16
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	17
20212223242526	18
212223242526	19
2223242526	20
23242526	21
242526	22
2526	23
26	24
	25
27	26
	27

judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna—Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna—Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g.*, *Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate's findings in full.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the report and recommendation (doc. # 4) be, and the same hereby are, ADOPTED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's complaint (doc. # 1) be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED. The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

DATED April 14, 2014.

Xellus C. Mahan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28