1	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General MICHELINE N. FAIRBANK Senior Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 8062 Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: 775-684-1196 Fax: 775-684-1275 Email: mfairbank@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Defendants Catherine Cortez Masto, Gregory Cox, Ross Miller, Nevada Department Of Corrections, and Brian Sandoval	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	HOWARD ACKERMAN,	Case No. 2:14-cv-00019-GMN-PAL
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' <u>UNOPPOSED</u> MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE JOINT
14	v.	STATUS REPORT
15	STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.	
16	Defendants.	
17 18	Defendants Cathorina Cortoz Masta Gragory Coy, Poss Millor, Novada Department of	
	Defendants, Catherine Cortez Masto, Gregory Cox, Ross Miller, Nevada Department of	
19	Corrections, and Brian Sandoval, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General	
20	of the State of Nevada, and Micheline N. Fairbank, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby	
21	move for an enlargement of time to submit a Joint Status Report pursuant to Court Order	
22	(#25) ¹ . This Motion is made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B) and supported by the	
23	following memorandum of points and authorities.	
24	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	

INTRODUCTION AND FACTUAL SUMMARY

On July 10, 2015, this Court issued an order requiring the parties to submit a Joint Status Report notifying the Court of the status of this matter. (#25) As there has been no

25

26

27

¹ This number refers to the Court's docket number.

initiation of any prosecution by Plaintiff in this action since Plaintiff submitted his Notice to Proceed Pro Se (#24), Defense counsel anticipated that Plaintiff would initiate contact with Defendants upon receipt of the Court's Order (#25) prior to the July 20, 2015, deadline. Additionally, counsel for Defendants was absent from her office on July 14th, 16th-20th. Upon counsel's return, there was no correspondence or communication from Plaintiff regarding this Court's Order (#25). As such, on July 22, 2015, counsel for Defendants' was able to have a telephone conference with Plaintiff regarding this Court's Order. During that phone conference, Plaintiff expressed an interest in engaging in informal settlement discussions. Based upon that interest, counsel for Defendants indicated that informal settlement discussions could take place. Plaintiff requested that the parties seek a sixty day enlargement of time to file a Joint Status Report where the parties could then inform the Court whether those communications were successful.

Accordingly, Defendants herby move the Court for a sixty-day enlargement of time to submit a Joint Status Report.

II. DISCUSSION

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B), the Court may extend the time upon which an act must be done "on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect." Here, Defendants assert that due to the inadvertence and oversight, the deadlines set by the Court in its Order were inadvertently missed due to travel out of the office for other matters; accordingly, the deadline for the Acceptance of Service passed without Defendants taking appropriate action.

The United States Supreme Court has interpreted the term excusable neglect under the rules governing bankruptcy proceedings to "encompass both simple, faultless omissions to act and, more commonly, omissions caused by carelessness." *Pioneer Inv. Services Co. v. Brunswick Associates Ltd. Partnership*, 507 U.S. 380, 388 (1993). In *Committee for Idaho's High Desert, Inc. v. Yost*, 92 F.3d 814 (9th Cir. 1996), the Ninth Circuit extended the *Pioneer*, analysis of excusable neglect to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b).

Here, the Court issued its Order directing the parties to submit a Joint Status Report on

July 10, 2015 (#25). Plaintiff represents that he did not receive a copy of this Court's Order. Counsel for Defendants was absent from her office three out of the six business days which the parties had to meet and confer and has been engaged in trial preparations for a matter commencing on July 23, 2015. As Plaintiff did not initiate contact, Defendants' then sought to contact and communicate with Plaintiff. However, due to inadvertence and excusable neglect, these matters were not resolved prior to the July 20, 2015, deadline for filing the Joint Status Report.

However, the parties have conferred and have agreed to initiate informal settlement communications. And pursuant to Plaintiff's request, Defendants hereby seek a sixty day enlargement of time to permit the parties to engage in these informal communications and then file a Joint Status Report identifying whether such communications were effective or whether the parties are prepared to proceed with the action.

III. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Defendants' respectfully request a sixty day enlargement of time, up to and including, **September 18, 2015**, to file the Joint Status Report.

DATED this 22nd day of July, 2014.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By: M

MICHELINE N. FAIRBANK Senior Deputy Attorney General

Bureau of Litigation
Public Safety Division
Attorney for Defendants

IT IS SO OPTERED.

Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court

DATED: 07/30/2015.

Office of the 28 Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and
that on this 22 nd day of July, 2015, I caused to be deposited for mailing a copy of the
foregoing DEFENDANTS ' <u>UNOPPOSED</u> MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILI
JOINT STATUS REPORT, addressed as follows:

Howard Ackerman 7500 W. Lake Mead #260 Las Vegas, NV 89128

An Employee of the Office of The Attorney General

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
Office of the 28
Attorney General
100 N. Carson St.

Carson City, NV 89701-4717