

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FRANCISCO JOSE MERCHAN ROCHA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 2:14-cv-00051
vs.	)	
	)	
VERONICA MOLANO FLOREZ, AKA	)	
GABRIELLE VERONICA MOLANO	)	
FLOREZ,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER**

THIS MATTER came before the Honorable Robert C. Jones, on February 12, 2014, at 1:30 p.m. on Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, and for a trial on the merits pertaining the return of the minor child, Sofia Merchan Molano (“SMM”). Present at the Hearing were Plaintiff, Francisco Jose Merchan Rocha, represented by his attorney of record, Emily McFarling, Esq. of McFarling Law Group. Also present was Maria Rios Landin, legal assistant to Emily McFarling. Defendant Veronica Molano Florez, nka Veronica Gabrielle, was present and represented by Christopher Ford, Esq., and Matthew Friedman, Esq. of Ford & Friedman.

Counsel presented their opening statements; testimony and exhibits were also presented.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, heard arguments from counsel, testimony of the parties and Defendant’s husband, Sam Gabrielle, interviewed the minor child, considered evidence, and good cause appearing, issues the following

FINDINGS and ORDERS:

1 THE COURT FINDS that the parties discussed and, at least Veronica, understood  
2 that the divorce agreement, including the custody agreement at issue herein, could be  
3 modified, in other words, it was not permanent.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the divorce agreement itself is binding and it  
5 does not contain an exception or a statement or any consent that there will be a change of  
6 residence or citizenship regarding SMM.

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the custody agreement, Francisco  
9 has custody of SMM. Said agreement provided that he give Veronica visitation rights. He  
10 was honoring that, therefore, Veronica must honor that too.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco consented to SMM visiting the  
12 USA, with the understanding that there was a return plane ticket purchased and SMM would  
13 be returning to the country of Colombia on the date of the return plane ticket.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco did not acquiesce to SMM  
16 remaining in the United States after the fact.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco sent various emails regarding  
18 SMM's vaccination records and school records necessary for SMM's enrollment in School.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco obviously acquiesced to the child  
20 being enrolled in school in the State of Nevada.

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Francisco did not consent to the child's  
23 relocation to the United States of America or staying in the United States permanently.

24 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no imminent or dangerous risk for the  
25 child to return to her father in Colombia.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM confirmed the testimony that Francisco  
27 spanked SMM, whipped SMM with a belt, and hit SMM on the head with a ringed finger,  
28

1 especially while in Australia. However, after they returned to the country of Colombia, her  
2 father needed her, was distressed and did not do that.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM has no desire to return to the school  
4 where she was allegedly molested by a professor. SMM is aware that the professor is no  
5 longer at that school, but there is no doubt that SMM believes that the alleged incident of  
6 molestation did occur.  
7

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the most important factual issue is whether the  
9 Court can rely upon the child's desires and wishes. Moreover, the Court finds that it can rely  
10 upon the desires and wishes of SMM.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM is a very mature young lady.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the minor child has a strong intellectual  
13 capacity and speaks her opinions well.  
14

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there may be some influence by Veronica or  
16 Sam over the child's opinion, but that it is not an overriding or undue influence.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it is apparent that Sam and/or Veronica have  
18 insisted that SMM not speak with Francisco during this interim period.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that SMM stated that the reason for Veronica  
20 and/or Sam's request that she not speak with Francisco is that Francisco might memorialize  
21 or record such communications and those could be used against her. That should stop as the  
22 father has rights.  
23

24 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child desires to live with her mother,  
25 Veronica.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child desires to live in the United States.  
27  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child's motivation and stated intent, to live  
2 with her mother and live in the U.S.A., are sincere.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it is probable that 60% of the child's  
4 motivation is she wants to live with her mother, and the other 40% is she likes to live in Las  
5 Vegas and in the United States.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child stated that she lives in a small  
7 apartment with her grandparents in Colombia, and that Sam provides a huge house in Las  
8 Vegas, and she is very well taken care of.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child's strong express desire is to live with her  
10 mother during the next indefinite period.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the minor child is willing, when matters  
12 stabilize, to return to Colombia for visitation.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Courts of Colombia have legitimate  
14 jurisdiction over the child.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was no permanent change in the  
16 residence or domicile or the intent with respect to the domicile of the child prior to her  
17 coming to the USA and, therefore, that pursuant to the Hague Convention the Colombian  
18 Courts should decide these matters.

19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Petition is granted but its  
20 effect shall be deferred for a period of six (6) months, from the date of \_ February 12, 2014  
21 with an additional six (6) month deferment period available upon the application of the  
22 parties.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Court is staying the effect of its order for a period  
2 of six months to allow Veronica to file an appeal and get a stay, and/or to modify custody in  
3 Colombia.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there is an injunction in place immediately,  
5 prohibiting the removal of SMM from the State of Nevada, County of Clark, or from  
6 changing SMM's residence, without the Court's permission or consent.  
7

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Veronica and Sam are not prohibited from leaving  
9 the State of Nevada.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Sam and Veronica are mandated to provide access, at  
11 least by telephone, if not actual visitation. Veronica can assure herself that all such visitation  
12 occurs in a secure place, but must not deny access to the father for both telephone calls  
13 and/or visits.  
14

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Sam and Veronica cannot insist on being present  
16 during Francisco's visits or phone calls with the child.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Veronica can satisfy herself that visitation is in a  
18 secure place, like in a room in the house, or room in a commercial building, but cannot deny  
19 face-to-face visitation in person, and cannot deny Francisco from sole presence with the  
20 child. Veronica needs to let Francisco and SMM talk privately.  
21

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED an arrangement can be made for a third party (not  
23 Veronica or Sam), to follow in a separate car.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will coordinate Francisco's visitation  
25 during his remaining stay in Las Vegas, through their counsel.  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francisco shall be entitled to telephonic and  
2 physical access to SMM until he departs for Colombia only if Veronica satisfies herself,  
3 concerning SMM's safety and security.


4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither party nor Sam will record SMM's  
5 communications, including phone conversations.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the method for applying for the additional six (6)  
7 month extension to the stay of this order shall be by motion, setting forth adequate cause  
8 upon which to issue the extension.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parties were advised that criminal contempt will lie  
10 if either one of them violates the Court's order, and will be prosecuted in the court of the  
11 United States, whether the party is in the United States.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Counsel for Francisco will prepare and provide an  
13 Order to Veronica's Counsel, complying with the findings and orders of this Court. Counsel  
14 for Francisco will review said findings and order, to see if Veronica's counsel has any  
15 objections and/or additions. Thereafter a proposed Order shall be provided to this Court to be  
16 entered.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of May, 2014.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22   
THE HONORABLE ROBERT C. JONES

23 MCFARLING LAW GROUP

Approved as to form and content:

24  
25 /s/Emily McFarling  
26 Emily McFarling, Esq.  
27 Nevada Bar No. 8567  
28 Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/Matthew H. Friedman  
Matthew H. Friedman, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 11571  
Attorney for Defendant