1

Doc. 16

 the same beneficiary. A Notice of Intent to Deny was issued on the same day, May 13, 2011. A response was timely filed and USCIS denied the I-130 petition with a finding of INA §204(c) marriage fraud on August 11, 2011. A timely appeal was filed by the petitioner on September 8, 2011. The BIA received the appeal from the Las Vegas Field Office on February 16, 2012. The BIA remanded the case on May 24, 2012. The couple had a subsequent USCIS interview on August 30, 2012. A different USCIS officer interviewed the couple and subsequently issued a Notice of Intent to Deny dated October 2, 2012. A timely response was filed on November 1, 2012. A Denial Notice was issued again and dated December 7, 2012. A timely appeal was filed on January 4, 2013 at the Las Vegas Field Office. Counsel for the Plaintiff submitted a brief within 30 days and the file was forwarded to the BIA. The BIA denied relief on December 20, 2013 and a civil complaint was then timely filed with this court.

PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO FILE CROSS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request)

Plaintiff makes this unopposed motion for a continuance on filing the cross motions for summary judgment. The cross motions for summary judgment are due on September 2, 2014. This is the first request for a motion to continue in this matter. Although LR 26-4 requires a 21 day advance notice showing good cause to extend time of an order, unless the moving party can demonstrate excusable neglect. Discovery was not requested in this case as it is an immigration matter and the Defendant complied with providing a complete administrative record.

The reason why Plaintiff is requesting an extension of time within 21 days of the order to file cross motions for summary judgment is because on July 28, 2014 (36 days before the deadline to file cross motions for summary judgment) Plaintiff's counsel made a request to

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

Defendant's counsel if there can be a stipulation as to the major issue on this case and a possible remand for adjudication of the I-130 by USCIS. Plaintiff's counsel received a reply that Defendant's counsel was informed that the Defendant will not entertain such request on August 27, 2014. Accordingly, because of this most recent response, Plaintiff's counsel needs more time to file his cross-motion for summary judgment.

This motion is made to possibly limit court costs and time as an agreement will dispose of this case quickly. It is requested that a modest 14 day extension be granted to allow the parties to further confer before the filing of the cross motions for summary judgment are due.

IT IS SO OKDERED:

C.W. Hoffman, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge Dated: September 2, 2014.

Dated this 29th Day of August 2014.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Constantinos Gus Fountas

Constantinos Fountas, Esq. Nevada Bar. No.: 10587 3340 Pepper Ln. Ste. 103 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Tel (702) 451-8815 Fax (702) 450-9925 fountaslaw@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, Constantinos Gus Fountas, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff, hereby certify that on August 29, 2014, I served a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO FILE CROSS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) by the District Clerk's electronic filing system to: Samuel Go, Senior Litigation Counsel, Department of Justice-OIL /s/ Constantinos Gus Fountas, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff