Paul S. Padda, Esq. (NV Bar #10417)
Email: psp@paulpaddalaw.com
Joshua Y. Ang, Esq. (NV Bar #14026)
Email: ja@paulpaddalaw.com
PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC
4240 West Flamingo Road, Suite 220
Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
Tele: (702) 366-1888
Fax: (702) 366-1940

Attorney for the Plaintiff

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SHENDONNA SOPHIA McLAINE,	)	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:14-cv-0288-JAD-GWF	
$\mathbb{V}_{ullet}$	Order Granting Stipulation for	
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA; et. al.,	Extension of Time	
Defendants.	[ECF No. 120]	

## STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

## (FIFTH REQUEST)

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6(b) and the Court's Local Rule of Civil Practice ("LRCP") 7-1, the parties respectfully request that the Court extend the current deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' motions for summary judgment (Pacer #109 and #110) to and until May 30, 2017 (Tuesday). This is the parties' fifth request for an extension of time. Plaintiff's current deadline is May 23, 2017.

In support of this stipulation, the parties wish to advise the Court of the following:

1. This is a civil rights case involving five separate Defendants. Each Defendant moved for summary judgment on January 30, 2017.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.

- Plaintiff's counsel, Paul S. Padda, Esq. recently requested (via joint stipulation) an extension of time which the Court approved. Unfortunately, Mr. Padda became ill late last week and has had very limited involvement in office matters since that time. Undersigned counsel for Plaintiff spoke to Mr. Padda today who continues to experience illness and is expected away from the office for part of this week in an effort to recuperate. Due to undersigned counsel's limited involvement in this case, he is not in a position to complete Plaintiff's response to the pending motions for summary judgment.
- 3. Upon learning of the current deadline, undersigned counsel immediately contacted counsel for Defendants have graciously indicated that they do not oppose Plaintiff's request for additional time to respond to the dispositive motions provided they are allowed 30days to file a reply to any response that may be filed by Plaintiff. Obviously, Plaintiff's counsel has no issue with providing Defendants additional time to file replies.
- 4. The additional time requested herein should provide sufficient time for Plaintiff's counsel to complete and file appropriate responses after he recovers from illness and is able to return to the office on regular schedule.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	5. The parties respectfully request that the Court approve this stipulation.		
2		Respectfully submitted,	
3	/s/ Lucinda L. Coumou	/s/ Joshua Y. Ang	
4	Lucinda L. Coumou, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney, Civil	Joshua Y. Ang, Esq. Division Paul Padda Law	
5	Clark County District Attorney's Off		
6	Attorney for Defendant Clark County		
7	Dated: May 23, 2017	·	
8	/s/ Noel E. Eidsmore		
10	Robert W. Freeman, Jr., Esq. Noel E. Eidsmore, Esq.		
11	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith  Attorney for Officers Friedman and 2		
12	and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department		
13	Dated: May 23, 2017		
14		IT IS SO ORDERED:	
15		The Court hereby approves the parties' stipulation for	
16		extension of the current deadline for responding to the motions for summary judgment filed on January 30,	
17		2017 (Pacer #109 and #110). Plaintiff's responses shall be due on or before May 30, 2017 and each Defendant	
18		shall have 30-days after Plaintiff files a response to submit a reply (if any).	
19 20		MARCE	
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		Dated: May <u>24</u> , 2017	
23			
24			
25			