

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Johnny Lee Jones, III, )
4 )
5 Plaintiff, ) Case No.: 2:14-cv-00303-GMN-NJK
6 vs. )
7 Caseworker Barrett, et al., ) ORDER
8 Defendants. )
9 )

10 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States
11 Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe. (ECF No. 3.) Pro se Plaintiff Johnny Lee Jones, III,
12 filed an Objection (ECF No. 6). As discussed below, the Court will accept and adopt in
13 full Judge Koppe’s Report and Recommendation.

14 This action was referred to Judge Koppe pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and
15 District of Nevada Local Rule IB 1-4. In Judge Koppe’s Order (ECF No. 3), Judge
16 Koppe granted Plaintiff’s In Forma Pauperis Application (ECF No. 1) and dismissed
17 Plaintiff’s Complaint with leave to amend within thirty days. (ECF No. 2.)

18 Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 2), was filed
19 contemporaneously with Plaintiff’s In Forma Pauperis Application. Judge Koppe
20 reported that “Plaintiff has not shown that he is likely to succeed on the merits,” and on
21 this basis recommended that this Court enter an order denying the motion. (ECF No. 3.)

22 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations
23 of a Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D.
24 Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo
25 determination of those portions of the Report to which objections are made. Id. The


1 Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations  
2 made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b).

3 In his Objection, Plaintiff appears to object generally to Judge Koppe's findings  
4 and conclusions. (ECF No. 6.) The Court has carefully reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint  
5 (ECF No. 4), Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 2), and Objection (ECF No. 6),  
6 as well as the relevant legal standards. Having done so, the Court agrees with Judge  
7 Koppe's conclusion, and finds no basis to reject Judge Koppe's findings and  
8 recommendation. Accordingly,

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3)  
10 is **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction  
12 (ECF No. 2) is **DENIED**.

13  
14 DATED this 1st day of April, 2014.

15  
16  
17 

18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
20 United States District Court  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25