

1

2

3

4

5

6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7

8

9 ROBERT M. ROSS,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 STEPHEN BROWN, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

14

Case No.: 2:14-CV-00310-RCJ-VCF

**ORDER**

15

16

17

18

On April 6, 2015 a Notice of Intent to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution Pursuant to Local Rule 41-1 (ECF #17) was entered with the Court. The Notice advised that if no action is taken in this case by May 6, 2015, the Court will dismiss this action for want of prosecution. Plaintiff has not complied within the allotted time period.

19

20

21

22

23

24

“Before dismissing the action, the district court is required to weigh several factors: (1) the public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court’s need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.” Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (internal citations and quotations omitted). All five factors point in favor of dismissal.

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff has failed to show good cause why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution pursuant to Local Rule 41-1.

///


///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Notice of Intent to Dismiss (ECF #17). The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT C. JONES  
United States District Judge