

1 DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
United States Attorney  
2 District of Nevada

3 PATRICK A. ROSE  
Assistant United States Attorney  
4 Nevada Bar No. 5109  
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000  
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: 702-388-6336  
6 Facsimile: 702-388-6787  
Email: patrick.rose@usdoj.gov

7  
8 MOLLY R. SILFEN  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
9 Office of the Solicitor  
Mail Stop 8, P.O. Box 1450  
10 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
Phone: (571) 272-9035  
11 Fax: (571) 273-0373  
molly.silfen@uspto.gov

12 Attorneys for Federal Defendants

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 GILBERT P. HYATT, )  
16 )  
Plaintiff, )  
17 )  
v. )  
18 )  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND )  
19 TRADEMARK OFFICE and MICHELLE K. )  
LEE, Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for )  
20 Intellectual Property, and Deputy Director of the )  
United States Patent and Trademark Office, )  
21 )  
Defendants. )

Case No.: 2:14-cv-00311-LDG-GWF

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF FEDERAL  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
DISMISS OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, STRIKE**

22 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, Defendants Michelle  
23 K. Lee and the United States Patent and Trademark Office (collectively "USPTO") respectfully  
24 move for a two-week extension of time, to June 23, 2014, to file a reply in support of their Motion to  
25

1 Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike. A response is currently due June 9, 2014. Mr. Hyatt's counsel  
2 have advised that they do not oppose this request for additional time.

3 In support of this motion, the USPTO relies on the following Memorandum of Points and  
4 Authorities.

5 Dated: June 9, 2014

6 Respectfully submitted,

7 DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
8 United States Attorney

9 /s/ Molly R. Silfen  
10 MOLLY R. SILFEN  
11 Special Assistant United States Attorney

12 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1 allow a party to request  
14 additional time to perform an act. Here, the USPTO's request for additional time to file a reply in  
15 support of its Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike is warranted because of the schedule of  
16 counsel for the USPTO. Specifically, Mr. Hyatt's response was filed on Friday, May 30, 2014. The  
17 undersigned defense counsel had an oral argument at the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on  
18 Tuesday, June 3, 2014, and has a brief due in a different appeal at the Federal Circuit on Thursday,  
19 June 12, 2014. The USPTO also has a reply brief due in this Court, in case no. 2:14-cv-00011-JCM-  
20 NJK, on the same day as this brief, June 9, 2014. The USPTO is requesting a two-week extension  
21 until June 23, 2014, in that case as well.

22 Mr. Hyatt's counsel have advised that they do not oppose this request for additional time.

23 For these reasons, the USPTO respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline to file  
24 a reply in support of the USPTO's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Strike to June 23, 2014.  
25 This request is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay.  
26


1 Dated: June 9, 2014

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
4 United States Attorney

5 /s/ Molly R. Silfen  
6 MOLLY R. SILFEN  
7 Special Assistant United States Attorney

8  
9  
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
14 **LLOYD D. GEORGE**

15 **Dated:** 9 June 2014  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26