27

official capacity as Chairman of the Nevada Private Investigator's Licensing Board; MARK

Licensing Board; JAMES NADEAU, in his official capacity as a Board Member of the Nevada Private Investigator's Licensing Board; JAMES COLBERT, in his official capacity as a Board Member of the Nevada Private Investigator's Licensing Board; ROBERT UITHOVEN, in his official capacity as a Board Member of the Nevada Private Investigator's Licensing Board (Board), appearing through their attorneys CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General and COLLEEN L. PLATT Deputy Attorney General, and hereby request that the Court modify the Scheduling Order.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

On June 10, 2014, the Board submitted its Motion to Dismiss; this motion was fully briefed on July 10, 2014, and is awaiting a decision by the Court. The Board's answer will be due after this Court has ruled on its Motion to Dismiss. FED. R. CIV. P. 12(a)(4). Pursuant to Local Rule 26–1, Plaintiff is required to initiate the scheduling of the FED. R. CIV. P. (FRCP) 26(f) meeting within 30 days after the Board answered or otherwise appeared. The Motion to Dismiss filed on June 10, 2014, was the Board's appearance in the instant matter. *See Sun Bank of Ocala v. Pelican Homestead and Sav. Ass'n*, 874 F.2d 274, 275–276 (1989 5th Cir.). Thus, Plaintiff was required to initiate the FRCP 26(f) meeting on or before July 12, 2014. Plaintiff did not initiate the meeting until July 31, 2014.

Discovery may be burdensome and expensive. Since there is not yet a resolution to the Board's Motion to Dismiss, the Board requests that the discovery process be stayed until after this Court has ruled on its Motion to Dismiss. The stay will allow the Parties to proceed with discovery on the portions of the Complaint, if any, that withstand the Board's Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff does not oppose this motion.

23 | | ///

24 | ///

25 | | ///

26 | | ///

27 || ///

28 | 1///

Attorney General's Office

Therefore, the Board hereby requests that this Court modify the Scheduling Order as follows:

1. The Board shall submit its answer to the Complaint within 14 days after this Court's ruling on its Motion to Dismiss.

2. The Parties shall submit a discovery plan within 14 days of Defendants' service of the answer.

DATED August 18, 2014.

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General

By: /s Colleen L. Platt

COLLEEN L. PLATT
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Nevada State
Defendants Kevin Ingram, Mark Zane,
David Spencer, James Nadeau;
Robert Uithoven; James Colbert

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21st day of August, 2014.

Peggy A. Leen

United States Magistrate Judge

Attorney General's Office 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Vicki Beavers, hereby certify I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General and that this 18th day of August, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Modify Scheduling Order, with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District of Nevada by using the appellate CM/ECF system.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ Vicki Beavers
VICKI BEAVERS