Brooks v. City of Henderson et al Doc. 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ADAM BROOKS. Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HENDERSON, *et al.*, Defendants.	Case No. 2:14-cv-00374-GMN-GWF **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the parties' failure to file a proposed Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. The Amended Complaint (#8) in this matter was filed June 11, 2014. Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss (#17) July 10, 2014. Pursuant to LR 26-1, the parties were required to meet and/or confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) within 30 days after the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared, and 14 days thereafter to file a mandatory stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order. To date, the parties have not complied. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall file a stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order not later than **October 6, 2014** in compliance with the provisions of LR 26-1 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

DATED this 24th day of September, 2014.

George Foley Jr.

GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge

Dockets.Justia.com