Jackson v.	O'Callaghan	Do	
1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney		
2	District of Nevada		
3	BLAINE T. WELSH Nevada Bar No. 4790		
4	TROY K. FLAKE Assistant United States Attorneys		
5	333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
6	Telephone: 702-388-6336 Facsimile: 702-388-6787		
7	Email: blaine.welsh@usdoj.gov troy.flake@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for the United States.		
9	Attorneys for the Officer States.		
10			
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
14	MARIANNE JACKSON, individually, and as Administratrix of the ESTATE OF BRYAN D.	Case No. 2:14-cv-00392-APG-GWF	
15	JACKSON,	Cuse 110. 2.11 ev 00372 111 G GW1	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	v. )		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
19	Defendant.		
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
21	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES Filed in Compliance with LR 26-4		
22	(Fifth Request)		
23			
24	Pursuant to LR 26-4 and for the reasons provided below, the parties, through their respective		
25	counsel, request the Court extend the discovery deadlines for 180 days. This is the fifth request for an		
26	extension of discovery deadlines.		

Dod. 32

1 | 2 | July 3 | The 4 | parti 5 | School 6 | secon 7 | School 8 | Stip 9 | Ordo 10 | (EC. 11 | 29) 0 | 12 | is A | 13 |

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1. Status Report: The parties conducted a telephonic Rule 26(f) discovery conference on July 15, 2014, and filed a stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order (ECF No. 12) on July 21, 2014. The Court entered a Scheduling Order (ECF No. 13) on July 22, 2014. On November 21, 2014, the parties filed the first Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 22), and the Court entered a Scheduling Order (ECF No. 23) on November 24, 2014. On January 21, 2015, the parties filed the second Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 24), and the Court entered the current Scheduling Order (ECF No. 25) on January 22, 2015. On May 26, 2015, the parties filed the third Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 26), and the Court entered the current Scheduling Order (ECF No. 27) on May 29, 2015. The parties' fourth Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 28) was filed on June 12, 2015, and the Court entered the current Scheduling Order (ECF No. 29) on June 15, 2012. Pursuant to LR 26-4, the deadline to request an extension of discovery deadlines is August 24, 2015.

This is an FTCA action alleging wrongful death caused by the negligence of a federally operated pharmacy. The parties have exchanged initial and supplemental disclosures as well as discovery requests. Plaintiff's supplemental disclosures, received on August 5, 2015, identified two previously undisclosed expert witness health care providers who treated Plaintiff for an injury Plaintiff alleges was caused by the United States' negligence. Plaintiff has not yet provided supporting medical documentation. The United States must obtain and review medical records relating to Plaintiff's claim and determine the necessity of expert witnesses to address new medical issues raised by Plaintiff.

The parties request a 180-day extension of the remaining discovery deadlines to allow the parties to secure records and experts. The parties do not anticipate further requests for extension of the discovery deadlines.

23 || . . .

|| . . .

25 || · ·

26 ∥ ⋅ ⋅

For these reasons, the parties propose the following discovery deadlines:

- 2. Discovery cutoff date: May 8, 2016.
- **3. Interim Status Report: March 12, 2016.**
- 4. **Expert Disclosures:** March 12, 2016.
- 5. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: April 10, 2016.
- 6. **Dispositive Motions: June 11, 2016.**
- 7. **Pretrial Order:** A Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed by **July 9, 2016**. However, if any dispositive motions are filed, then the Joint Pretrial Order shall be due thirty (30) days after decision on such motion(s). Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto shall be included in the Joint Pretrial Order.
- 8. **Electronic Discovery:** The parties do not envision that any significant relevant information is stored in an electronic format. Accordingly, the parties agree to produce any electronically stored information in paper and/or .pdf format. If the parties learn that electronically stored information is available and would impact this case, the parties will meet and confer about the production of that information in an acceptable format.
- 9. **Inadvertent Disclosure:** Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) and (e), the parties both agree and request that entry of this order establish that inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed or as to any other related information for purposes of this or any other litigation. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned, stricken or otherwise appropriately handled to reverse the inadvertent disclosure. The receiving

3

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When the period of time is stated in days or a longer unit of time ... include the last day of the period, but if the last day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the period continues to run until the end of the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C).

1	party shall return all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five (5) business days of		
2	receipt of the request and otherwise cooperate to fulfill this agreement. Any notes or summaries		
3	referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed.		
4	Respectfully submitted this 18th day of August, 2015.		
5	STOVALL & ASSOCIATES  DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney		
6			
7	<u>/s/ Ross Moynihan</u>		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff TROY K. FLAKE Assistant United States Attorneys		
9	Attorneys for the United States		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
17	Leonar Folen a		
18	UNITED STATES MAGASTRATE JUDGE		
19	DATED: September 1, 2015		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			