28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 JAMES WILLIAMS, Case No. 2:14-cv-00414-APG-PAL 4 DISTRICT COURT'S RESPONSE TO 5 Plaintiff, NINTH CIRCUIT'S REFERRAL NOTICE 6 v. 7 F.N.U. CLARK, et al., 8 Defendant. 9 The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has referred to me the question whether Mr. Williams' 10 "in forma pauperis status should continue for this appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or 11 taken in bad faith." ECF No. 97. I do not find this appeal frivolous or taken in bad faith. I do not 12 13 elect to revoke Mr. Williams' in forma pauperis status. This response is directed to the Ninth Circuit pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate 14 Procedure 24(a)(3)(A). The clerk of court shall serve this response upon the parties and the Ninth 15 16 Circuit. Dated: December 19, 2016. 17 18 19 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27