

1	Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate
2	judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v.
3	Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the
4	district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see
5	also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's
6	decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any
7	issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's
8	recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g.,
9	Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation
10	to which no objection was filed).
11	Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
12	whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation
13	and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge's findings
14	in full.
15	Accordingly,
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and
17	recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach (doc. # 2) are ADOPTED in their entirety.
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice.
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall have thirty (30) days from the entry of this
20	order to file an amended complaint correcting the deficiencies identified in Magistrate Judge
21	Ferenbach's report and recommendation. Failure to file an amended complaint within this time
22	period may result in dismissal of plaintiff's claims with prejudice.
23	DATED July 2, 2014.
24	
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	UTITEL STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	
28	
n dge	- 2 -