

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ***

4
5 EXOBOX TECHNOLOGIES CORP.,

6 Plaintiff,

7 vs.

8 ZACHARY TSAMBIS, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

2:14-cv-00501-RFB-VCF

ORDER

10
11 Before the court is Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and
12 Amended Complaint. (#132).

13 Exobox seeks to extend the time to effect service of the Summonses and Amended Complaint
14 upon those Defendants that have not been served. *Id.* Plaintiff has given sufficient reason to grant the
15 extension of time to serve the summonses and amended complaint.

16 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-5(c), [m]otions, applications or requests may be submitted *ex parte* only
17 for compelling reasons, and not for unopposed or emergency motions. In the declaration of Zachary
18 Takos, Exobox states that the instant motion is *ex parte* "because the relief sought does not impact or
19 affect any of the Defendants who have been served in this matter." This is not a compelling reason to file
20 the instant motion *ex parte*. This motion will not be deemed *ex parte*.

21 Accordingly,

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk will remove the *ex parte* status from the Motion for
23 Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and Amended Complaint (#132).

24 ...

25 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and
2 Amended Complaint (#132) is GRANTED.

3 DATED this 9th day of April, 2015.

4
5 

6

CAM FERENBACH
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25