| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUDT |
|----|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 3 | *** |
| 4 | |
| 5 | STEPHANIE HASHEM, |
| 6 | Plaintiff, |
| 7 | 2:14-cv-00549-APG-VCF vs. ORDER |
| 8 | ARMY & AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE, |
| 9 | Defendant. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Before the Court is the Motion for Exception to Settlement Conference Attendance Requirement |
| 13 | (ECF No. 75). No opposition has been filed. Pursuant to LR 7-2(d), the failure of an opposing party to |
| 14 | file points and authorities in response to any motion constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion. |
| 15 | Here, it would seem as though Plaintiff has consented to the granting of the instant motion. |
| 16 | Accordingly, |
| 17 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Motion for Exception to Settlement Conference Attendance |
| 18 | Requirement (ECF No. 75) is GRANTED. |
| 19 | DATED this 24th day of March, 2017. |
| 20 | Contactor |
| 21 | CAM FERENBACH |
| 22 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |