

1 1(e)(1). Instead, the parties erred by apparently calculating the discovery date from the date when
2 Defendant filed an answer. *See* Docket No. 26. Because Defendant first appeared on June 30, 2014
3 and the parties seek to set the discovery deadline on February 7, 2016, the parties actually request a
4 discovery period of approximately 587 days. Therefore, Local Rule 26(e) requires them to seek special
5 scheduling review, and Local Rule 26-1(d) requires them to include a statement of reasons justifying
6 the longer period of time. The parties failed to do either.

7 Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is hereby DENIED without prejudice. The parties
8 must file a new joint proposed discovery plan that complies in full with Local Rule 26-1, no later than
9 October 13, 2015.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: October 6, 2015

12 
13 _____
14 NANCY J. KOPPE
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28