Cipriani v. Green Tree Servicing, LLC et al

Doc. 9

1 2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

This Motion is made and based on the papers and pleadings on file herein and the following Points and Authorities.

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014.

## LEGAL AID CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.

/s/ Debra A. Bookout, Esq.

Venicia G. Considine, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11544 Debra A. Bookout, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11765C 725 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 386-1070 Facsimile: (702) 386-1437

vconsidine@lacsn.org Attorneys for Plaintiff

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff Arlene Cipriani commenced this action in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-14-698058-C, by filing a complaint on March 21, 2014. (See CR 1, Exhibit A.) Defendants filed a Petition for Removal of Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1332, 1441 and 1446 on April 21, 2014 (CR 1) and a Certificate of Interested Parties on April 21, 2014 (CR 4). On April 21, 2014, this Court issued a Minute Order directing the parties to file a Joint Status Report. (CR 3.) Defendants filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss the complaint on April 29, 2014. (CR 5.) The Joint Status Report is due May 24, 2014. (CR 3.) Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is currently due May 19, 2014.

Defendants' moved to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6). (CR 5.) Plaintiff is requesting this extension of two weeks because counsel for Plaintiff needs additional time to prepare and draft the opposition to the Motion to Dismiss. The Defendants

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 /// 15 /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// ///

1

argue in the Motion to Dismiss, in part, that the complaint fails to allege facts with the particularity required to avoid dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6). (See CR 5 at 7.) Defendants also argue that Plaintiff has failed to establish an agency relationship between Fannie Mae and Green Tree Servicing LLC and thus, Fannie Mae is an improper defendant. (CR 5 at 2-4.)

Counsel seeks this extension of time in order to conduct further research on these and other issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss. Moreover, Plaintiff filed the original complaint in state court in which the complaint would be subject to less stringent pleading requirements than those required in federal court. Accordingly, counsel must further determine whether the complaint should be amended.

Undersigned counsel contacted opposing counsel, Mr. Andrew Bao, on May 19, 2014 and advised that he has no objection to an extension of two weeks for Plaintiff to file the response to the Motion to Dismiss.

This Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time is not filed for purposes of delay, but in the interests of justice, as well as in the interest of Ms. Cipriani.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff moves this Court for an extension of time until June 2, 2014 to
2	file her response to the Motion to Dismiss.
3	DATED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014.
4	LEGAL AID CENTER OF
5	SOUTHERN NEVADA, INC.
6	
7	/s/ Debra A. Bookout, Esq. Venicia G. Considine, Esq.
8	Nevada Bar No. 11544 Debra A. Bookout, Esq.
9	Nevada Bar No. 11765C 725 E. Charleston Blvd.
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 386-1070
11	Facsimile: (702) 386-1437
12	vconsidine@lacsn.org Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	
14	<u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED: that the time within which Plaintiff shall file her response to
17	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be extended fourteen (14) days to June 2, 2014.
18	Dated: May 20, 2014.
19	
20	United States District Judge
21	Office States District stage
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	