

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

SHELLEY D. KROHN, Chapter 7 Trustee,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
EQUITY TITLE, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:14- cv-00620-MMD-PAL

**ORDER**


Before the court is the Parties Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order which requests special scheduling review (Dkt. #23). The Parties proposed discovery plan and scheduling order requests that the court not require discovery to commence until after a ruling on a pending motion to dismiss. Both sides agree that it would be a potential waste of time and resources to bring discovery before a decision on the motion to dismiss because if the motion is granted it will likely be dispositive of the entire

Having reviewed and considered the matter,

**IT IS ORDERED**

1. The Parties request for special scheduling review is GRANTED.
2. The Parties shall have fourteen (14) days from decision of the pending motion to dismiss to submit a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order which complies with LR 26-1(e) as to any claims which survive.

Dated this 18th day of July, 2014.

  
PEGGY A. LEEN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE