

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

HARRY GEANACOPULOS, et al.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
NARCONON FRESH START, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:14-cv-0629-JCM-NJK
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY
(Docket No. 38)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs’ motion to stay. Docket No. 38. Defendant filed a response in opposition and Plaintiffs filed a reply. Docket Nos. 40-43. The Court finds this motion properly decided without oral argument. See Local Rule 78-2. Plaintiffs’ reply indicates that they agree that discovery in this case should not be stayed. See Docket No. 43 at 1. At this stage in the case, it does not appear that there are any other proceedings to be stayed. See, e.g., Docket No. 40 at 7. Accordingly, the motion to stay is hereby **DENIED** as premature.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: November 17, 2014



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge