

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

VALERIE MARTEL, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	Case No. 2:14-cv-00636-RCJ-NJK
)	
vs.)	ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
)	DISCOVERY PLAN
DALE L. CAIN, SR.,)	
)	(Docket No. 10)
Defendant(s).)	

This matter is before the Court on the parties’ Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Docket No. 10. The Local Rules provide a presumptively reasonable discovery period of 180 days measured from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared in the case. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d), Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). In this case, the parties request a discovery period of 284 days. *See* Docket No. 10 at 3.¹ The discovery plan seeks an extended discovery period by asserting in general terms that the parties agree that it is necessary in this case. *See id.* at 2. That is not sufficient reason to deviate from the presumptively reasonable 180-day discovery period. Accordingly, the discovery plan

¹ The discovery plan incorrectly states that the parties seek a discovery period of 240 days, but that assertion is based on calculating the discovery cut-off 240 days from the date the discovery plan was filed. *See* Docket No. 10 at 3 (calculating discovery cut-off at 240 days from July 14, 2014). The Local Rules make plain that the discovery period is calculated from the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. *See* Local Rules 26-1(d), Local Rule 26-1(e)(1).

1 is hereby DENIED. No later than July 17, 2014, the parties shall submit an amended discovery plan
2 based on the presumptively reasonably 180-day discovery period.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: July 15, 2014

5
6 
7 _____
8 NANCY J. KOPPE
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28