15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Amy M. Samberg, Nevada Bar No. 10212				
Alex L. Fugazzi, Nevada Bar No. 9022 Joshua D. Cools, Nevada Bar No. 11941 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.				
3 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169				
4 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252				
5 Email: <u>asamberg@swlaw.com</u> Email: <u>afugazzi@swlaw.com</u>				
6 Email: jcools@swlaw.com				
Attorneys for Defendant COLORADO CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
DAVID CRANMER, CASE NO.: 2:14-cv-0	00645-MMD-VCF			
Plaintiff,				
12 COLORADO CASUA COMPANY'S CONF	FIDENTIALITY			
13 COLORADO CASUALTY INSURANCE AND PROTECTIVE	ORDER			

COMPANY and DOES I – V and ROE CORPORATIONS I – V, inclusive,

Defendants.

SUALTY INSURANCE ONFIDENTIALITY IVE ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, and for good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED to preserve and maintain the confidentiality of certain confidential, commercially sensitive and proprietary documents to be produced by Colorado Casualty Insurance Company ("CCIC") in this action, it is ordered that:

- Documents to be produced by CCIC in this litigation which contain confidential 1. information shall hereafter be referred to as "Protected Documents." Any document or any information designated as "Subject to Protective Order," or other similar language in accordance with the provisions of this Order, shall only be used, shown or disclosed as provided in this Order.
- As used in this Order, the term "documents" means all written material, videotapes 2. and all other tangible items, produced in whatever format (e.g., hard copy, electronic, digital,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

etc.) and on whatever media (e.g., hard copy, videotape, computer diskette, CD-ROM, DVD, hard drive or otherwise).

- 3. The burden of proving that a Protected Document contains trade secret or other confidential information is on the party producing the document. Prior to designating any material as "Protected," the producing party must make a bona fide determination that the material is, in fact, a trade secret, confidential information or other commercially sensitive information, the dissemination of which would damage the party's competitive position. If a party disagrees with the "Protected" designation of any document, the party will so notify the producing party in writing. If the parties are unable to agree, within 30 days of receiving such notice, the producing party will then apply to this Court to set a hearing for the purpose of establishing that said document is protected. Any document so marked as "Protected" will continue to be treated as such pending determination by the Court as to its confidential status.
- The designation of Protected Documents shall be made by marking or placing the 4. notice "Confidential: Subject to Protective Order", on the document, or, where a copy of the original document is to be produced, on that copy in a location that does not cover or mark over any textual material if possible.
- Protected Documents and any copies thereof received pursuant to paragraph 6 below shall be maintained confidential by the receiving party, his/her attorney, other representatives, and expert witnesses, and shall be used only for preparation for the trial of this matter, subject to the qualifications set forth herein.
- Protected Documents shall be disclosed only to "Qualified Persons." Qualified 6. Persons are limited to:
 - Counsel of Record in this action for the parties, and the parties; a.
 - Employees of Counsel of Record involved in the preparation and trial of b. this action;
 - Experts and non-attorney consultants retained by the parties for the c. preparation or trial of this case, provided that no disclosure shall be made to any expert or consultant who is employed by a competitor of CCIC; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The Court, the Court's staff, witnesses, and the jury in this case. d.
- The term "competitor" as used in subsection (c) shall be defined as anyone e. currently employed by an insurer or business engaged in the practice of handling insurance claims.
- 7. Plaintiffs' Counsel must make reasonable efforts to ensure the individuals described in paragraphs 6(b) and 6(c) above are "Qualified Persons."
- Before receiving access to any Protected Document or the information contained 8. therein, each person described in paragraph 6(c) above shall execute a "Written Assurance" in the form contained in Exhibit A, attached hereto. Counsel for the Parties shall retain each such executed "Written Assurance" and shall keep a list identifying all persons described in paragraphs 6(c) above to whom Protected Documents have been disclosed, and (b) all Protected Documents disclosed to such persons. Upon any party's request and a good faith basis, such as a reasonable belief that the terms and provisions of this Order have been or are in jeopardy of being violated, Counsel of Record shall provide within seven (7) business days, a copy of such lists, excluding documents disclosed to non-testifying consulting experts, together with copies of the written agreements executed by persons described in subparagraph 6(c) above. A party must move the Court for disclosure of the Protected Documents disclosed to non-testifying consulting experts.
- As the Protected Documents may only be distributed to "Qualified Persons," Counsel of Record, and all persons described in paragraph 6 above may not post Protected Documents on any website or internet accessible document repository.
- To the extent that Protected Documents or information obtained therefrom are 10. used in the taking of depositions and/or used as exhibits at trial, or to the extent that deposition testimony is otherwise marked "Confidential," such documents, information and/or testimony shall remain subject to the provisions of this Order, along with the transcript pages of the deposition testimony and/or trial testimony dealing with the Protected Documents, information and/or testimony.
- Prior to filing any documents with the Court that contain any portion of any 11. Protected Document or information taken from any Protected Document, that party must file a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

motion for an order sealing the documents consistent with the Ninth Circuit opinions of Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) and Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Association, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010) and consistent with Local Rule 10-5(b). A copy of the motion to seal must be served on all parties that have appeared in the case.

- Any court reporter or transcriber who reports or transcribes testimony in this 12. action shall agree that all "Confidential" information designated as such under this Order shall remain confidential and shall not be disclosed by them, except pursuant to the terms of this Order, and that any notes or transcriptions of such testimony (and any accompanying exhibits) will be retained by the reporter or delivered to counsel of record.
- Inadvertent or unintentional production of documents or information containing 13. information which should have been designated as "Confidential" shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of the party's claims of confidentiality. If a party fails to designate a document as confidential within 30 days of receipt of the document, and at some point thereafter wishes to re-designate the document as confidential, the party may do so either by obtaining agreement from the opposing party, or by obtaining leave from the Court to allow the document to be redesignated as confidential. Once a party contacts the opposing party about a document that it wishes to re-designate as confidential, the opposing party is prohibited from further disseminating the subject document, and must take all reasonable steps to ensure that it is not further disseminated by any party who may have a copy of the subject document, until there is a determination regarding whether the document will be allowed to be re-designated as confidential. If a party so requests, the opposing party shall respond in writing describing what actions were taken as reasonable efforts.

A party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation of confidential information at the time made, and failure to do so shall preclude a subsequent challenge to such designation. In the event any party to this litigation disagrees with the designation by a party of any information as confidential, the parties shall try first to resolve such dispute in good faith on an informal basis in accordance with the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This shall

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

be accomplished within 30 days of the designation of the document as confidential. If the dispute cannot be resolved by the parties after undertaking reasonable good faith efforts, the parties seeking to remove the confidential designation of the information may apply for appropriate relief from the Court, which shall be permitted to conduct an in-camera inspection of the confidential materials. The party seeking to remove the confidential designation of the information shall apply for relief from the Court no later than 14 days after concluding the informal dispute resolution with the party that designated the information as confidential. Such designation shall be construed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing protective orders, and the appropriate case law construing those provisions.

- This Protective Order may not be waived, modified, abandoned or terminated, in 14. whole or part, except by an instrument in writing signed by the parties. If any provision of this Protective Order shall be held invalid for any reason whatsoever, the remaining provisions shall not be affected thereby.
- After termination of this litigation, the provisions of this Order shall continue to be 15. binding. This Court retains and shall have jurisdiction over the parties and recipients of the Protected Documents for enforcement of the provisions of this Order following termination of this litigation.
- This Protective Order shall be binding upon the parties hereto, upon their 16. attorneys, and upon the parties' and their attorneys' successors, executors, personal representatives, administrators, heirs, legal representatives, assigns, subsidiaries, divisions, employees, agents, independent contractors, or other persons or organizations over which they have control.
- All persons described in paragraph 6 above shall not under any circumstance sell, 17. offer for sale, advertise, or publicize either the Protected Documents and the Confidential information contained therein or the fact that such persons have obtained any party's Protected Documents and Confidential information.
- 18. At the conclusion of this suit, and at the request of the producing party, all original Protected Documents shall be returned to the party from which they came; all copies shall be

Snell & Wilmer	L.L.P	LAW OFFICES	883 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1100
			883 1

securely destroyed. Counsel shall return all confidential material to counsel for the producing party, along with a signed certification from the attorney for the returning party that all copies of the confidential materials have been returned to the producing party. Counsel for the producing party only, shall be entitled to retain copies of materials designated confidential, for purposes of record keeping relative to the client's file and for purposes of record keeping for the returning party, if that party would ever be able to establish a need to access the confidential materials at some point in the future. Such retained copy shall be appropriately maintained confidential, and shall not subject to disclosure to any other persons absent further order of the Court.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this ____ day of ____ , 2014.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1	EXHIBIT A				
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
3	DAVID CRANMER,	CASE NO.: 2:14-cv-00645-MMD-VCF			
4	Plaintiff,				
5	vs.	WRITTEN ASSURANCE REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY AND			
6	COLORADO CASUALTY INSURANCE	PROTECTIVE ORDER			
7	COMPANY and DOES I – V and ROE CORPORATIONS I – V, inclusive,				
8					
9	Defendants.				
10	STATE OF) ss:				
11	COUNTY OF				
12	AFFIDAVIT OF	, being duly			
13	sworn and personally appearing before the undersigned attesting officer, duly authorized by law				
14	to administer oaths, deposes and says that the within statements are true and correct:				
15	1. I have read the Protective Order attached hereto and I understand its terms and				
16	meanings.				
17	2. I agree that my signature below submits me to the jurisdiction of the United States				
18	District Court, District of Nevada, in which the action of Cranmer v. Colorado Casualty				
19	Insurance Company, 2:14-cv-00645-MMD-VCF, is pending, and binds me to the provisions of				
20	the Protective Order, including to all promises undertaken in the Order, as if originally agreed by				
21	me.				
22	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.				
23	This day of	, 201			
24					
25	Signature				
26	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this, 2014.				
27					
28	NOTARY PUBLIC				