

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CELY TABLIZO,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF LAS VEGAS,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00763-APG-VCF

**ORDER REJECTING PROPOSED
JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER**

10 The parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 41) does not comply with Local
11 Rules 16-3 and 16-4. For example, on pages 8 and 9, the parties "reserve all objections [to
12 exhibits] as permitted by the Federal Rules of Evidence." ECF No. 41 at 8-9. Parties cannot
13 reserve their rights to object later because the Local Rule requires the parties to specify the
14 grounds for their objections. LR 16-3(b)(8)(B).

15 The proposed Pretrial Order also fails to include a "statement by each party of whether
16 they intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for purposes of jury deliberations."
17 LR 16-3(b)(9).

18 The proposed Pretrial Order also fails to include addresses for the witnesses designated by
19 each party. LR 16-3(b)(12).

20 Finally, the parties state they intend to offer depositions at trial, but they fail to designate
21 the pages and lines of those depositions. LR 16-3(e)(1-2).

22 Local Rule 16-3 requires the parties to personally discuss these and other issues. The
23 requirements set forth in Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4 are designed to streamline the trial
24 preparation and presentation, and to foster settlement. The parties have not followed those Rules.
25 Accordingly, the proposed Joint Pretrial Order is rejected.

26 ////

27 ////

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS ORDERED that the parties' Joint Pretrial Order (**ECF No. 41**) is **REJECTED**. The parties shall personally confer as required in Local Rule 16-3, and submit a Joint Pretrial Order that complies with Local Rule 16-4 within 14 days of entry of this Order.

DATED this 26th day of August, 2016.



ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE