

1 determination if the relevant standard for sealing is met. To the extent the designating
2 party does not believe the relevant standard for sealing can be met, it shall indicate that
3 the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving notice of the
4 intended filing. To the extent the designating party believes the relevant standard for
5 sealing can be met, it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than
6 four days after receiving notice of the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach
7 that declaration to its motion to seal the designated material. If the designating party fails
8 to provide such a declaration in support of the motion to seal, the filing party shall file
9 a motion to seal so indicating and the Court may order the document filed in the public
10 record.

11 Docket No. 20 at 2-3. The pending motion to seal failed to comply with that procedure.

12 Accordingly, the pending motion to seal is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. The Court
13 **ORDERS** Defendants to confer with Plaintiff, no later than July 17, 2015, regarding the confidentiality
14 designation of the subject document. To the extent a motion to seal remains necessary, it must be refiled
15 in accordance with the above procedures no later than July 21, 2015. To the extent the parties do not
16 believe that sealing is necessary, Defendants shall file the subject document on the public docket no later
17 than July 21, 2015.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: July 14, 2015

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge