

1 1970058, at *5 n.12 (C.D. Cal. July 23, 2004)) (noting that privacy concerns regarding subpoenaed bank
2 records could be sufficiently addressed through a stipulated protective order). Second, whether (1) the
3 law provides standing to a party to move to quash a subpoena based on a “personal right or privilege”
4 in the documents sought and, if so, (2) whether a party has a “personal right or privilege” in his banking
5 records. *See Paws Up Ranch*, 2013 WL 6184940, at *2 (noting splits of authority on both issues).
6 Third, whether the subpoenaed documents are duplicative of the bank records recently produced in
7 redacted form by Defendant.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: November 15, 2016

10 
11 _____
12 NANCY J. KOPPE
13 United States Magistrate Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28