

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

SILVER STATE REFRIGERATION &
HVAC LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GERALD KOSLOW and JAMES KIMSEY,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00968-APG-GWF

ORDER

(Dkt. #18)

10 Defendants have again attempted to remove this action from Las Vegas Justice Court and
11 reopen the case after I previously remanded it. (Dkt. #18.) In my prior remand Order, I identified
12 three defects with defendant Gerald Koslow's removal: (1) it was untimely, (2) he did not
13 establish diversity of citizenship, and (3) he did not establish the amount in controversy exceeds
14 \$75,000. (Dkt. #17.) Defendants still have not established the amount in controversy exceeds
15 \$75,000 and they have made no effort to do so. As I noted in my prior Order, Plaintiff Silver
16 State Refrigeration & HVAC LLC filed suit in a court which does not have jurisdiction over
17 controversies involving more than \$10,000. (Dkt. #17 at 4.) Consequently, Silver State's
18 damages are limited to \$10,000 and thus necessarily cannot exceed \$75,000. Moreover, Silver
19 State seeks only \$950 in special damages and an unspecified amount of general damages. (*Id.*; *see*
20 *also* Dkt. #1-2.) Despite having this issue pointed out numerous times, Defendants make no
21 argument and present no evidence establishing how the amount in controversy is satisfied.
22 Defendants have not met their burden of establishing subject matter jurisdiction by a
23 preponderance of the evidence. *Guglielmino v. McKee Foods Corp.*, 506 F.3d 696, 699 (9th Cir.
24 2007). I therefore deny the motion to remove and reopen.

25 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), "[a]n order remanding the case may require payment of
26 just costs and any actual expenses, including attorney fees, incurred as a result of the removal."
27 "Absent unusual circumstances, courts may award attorney's fees under § 1447(c) only where the
28

1 removing party lacked an objectively reasonable basis for seeking removal.” *Gardner v. UICI*,
2 508 F.3d 559, 561 (9th Cir. 2007).

3 Here, Defendants lacked an objectively reasonable basis for removal. Silver State filed
4 suit in a court with limited jurisdiction over claims not exceeding \$10,000. Thus, \$10,000 is the
5 maximum amount that could be in controversy. Moreover, Silver State’s complaint sought only
6 \$950 in identified damages and an unspecified amount of general damages. There is no basis to
7 conclude more than \$75,000 is in controversy and Defendants have not even attempted to provide
8 one. Removing this case a second time after this very defect was pointed out to them was
9 objectively unreasonable. I therefore award to Silver State costs, including reasonable attorney’s
10 fees, incurred in responding to the second removal attempt. By February 2, 2015, Silver State
11 shall file an affidavit and itemization of costs and attorney’s fees incurred solely with respect to
12 Defendants’ Petition to Reopen Case and Amended Notice of Removal (Dkt. #18). Defendants
13 may respond with any objections within seven days thereafter.¹

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants’ Petition to Reopen Case and Amended
15 Notice of Removal (Dkt. #18) is DENIED.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff Silver State Refrigeration & HVAC LLC
17 wishes to recover attorneys’ fees and costs, it shall file an affidavit and itemization of costs and
18 attorney’s fees incurred solely with respect to Defendants’ Petition to Reopen Case and Amended
19 Notice of Removal (Dkt. #18) by February 2, 2015.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants may respond with any objections within
21 seven days thereafter.

22 DATED this 20th day of January, 2015.

23
24 
25 _____
26 ANDREW P. GORDON
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28

27 _____
28 ¹ The parties may confer and agree to a proper amount of reasonable costs and fees without Court
intervention. If they do so, they shall promptly file a notice with the Court so indicating.