Dockets.Justia.com

STIPULATION

All parties through their counsel of record enter into this Stipulation to extend the current
scheduling order deadlines for discovery and for plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification
pursuant to the provisions local Rules 6-1, 6-2 and 7-1. This is the first request for an extension.
The parties through their counsel of record also enter into this Stipulation to obtain a
protective order to permit the completion of certain discovery.

I. Discovery Conducted to Date and Reason for Protective Order

The parties have diligently conducted document and written discovery. All parties have made initial disclosures of witnesses and documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. Plaintiffs also have propounded multiple sets of written interrogatories and requests for production to which defendants have served original and supplemental responses. However, production of certain discovery materials has not yet occurred. Through meeting and conferring, the parties have reached an agreement whereby these materials and relevant materials possessed by thirdparties can be produced to plaintiffs subject to a protective order.

The plaintiffs also have served a document request on non-party Twilio, Inc. via
subpoena. Twilio has not responded, and the plaintiffs filed an Application for an Order to Show
Cause Why Twilio should not be held in contempt in the U.S. District Court for Northern
California, San Francisco Division. The Order to Show Cause has been issued, and a hearing is
scheduled for this matter on April 16, 2015 in San Francisco.

The parties have conferred extensively regarding the relative merits of the claims and
defenses and other issues relating to the lawsuit.

22

1

7

II. Reason for Request for Continuance / Extension

The current class discovery deadline is April 30, 2015, and the current deadline for
plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification is May 30, 2015. See ECF Doc. # 35. The
purpose of the requested extension is to permit the parties to engage in mediation before
incurring the significant costs and expense associated with completing the class discovery phase
and filing briefs regarding class certification. The parties have agreed to participate in Mediation
on May 27, 2015, with Hon. William C. Pate (Ret) of JAMS in San Diego, California.

STIPULATION AND ORDER - Page 2 of 7 -

Case 2:14-cv-01125-RFB-PAL Document 48 Filed 04/10/15 Page 3 of 7

1	To facilitate mediation and to continue advancing resolution of this case, the parties also	
2	have agreed to the taking of two of defendants' witnesses, David Saxe and Ric Schaaf prior to	
3	the mediation date. The parties are targeting May 12, 13, and 14 for these depositions.	
4	Depositions on these dates should allow for plaintiffs to prepare for the depositions in light of	
5	materials to be produced subject to the proposed protective order and as may be ordered in	
6	connection with the current Order to Show Cause proceedings now pending against third-party	
7	Twilio, Inc. in San Francisco.	
8	Accordingly, the parties have agreed to continue all dates in the current scheduling order	
9	by three months and respectfully request the Court to approve this request.	
10	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SCHEDULING ORDER	
11	Based on the foregoing, the parties stipulate to the following amendments to the	
12	Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order currently on file. See ECF Doc. # 35.	
13	1. The discovery deadlines for the Class Discovery Phase should be amended as	
14	follows:	
15	a. The current April 30, 2015 Close of Class Discovery Phase should be	
16	continued to July 31, 2015; and	
17	b. The current May 30, 2015 Deadline to file Motion to Certify Class should	
18	be continued to August 31, 2015.	
19	2. No amendment should be necessary for the Merits Discovery Phase which is	
20	contingent upon the Court's decision regarding the Motion for Class Certification.	
21	3. The parties should conduct depositions of witnesses David Saxe and Ric Schaaf	
22	by May 14, 2015.	
23	PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
24	For reasons referenced above, the parties also stipulate to the following Protective Order:	
25	1. Any document or thing which the parties mutually agree in writing to be	
26	confidential shall not be used for any purpose that is not related to the present litigation.	
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER - Page 3 of 7 -	

Case 2:14-cv-01125-RFB-PAL Document 48 Filed 04/10/15 Page 4 of 7

1	2. Personal, identifying information	of Defendants' customers will be redacted from	
2	such confidential documents and things when filed with the Court except when filed under seal		
3	together with a motion requesting the filing to remain under seal.		
4	3. At present, the following docume	ents and things are agreed to be confidential:	
5	a. Text message transmissio	on records obtained from Twilio, Inc.; and	
6	b. The three executable scrip	pts and programs mentioned in Defendants'	
7	Supplemental Response t	o Interrogatory No. 1 together with the source	
8	code for these scripts and	programs.	
9	4. A party's agreement to treat any	document or thing as confidential in no respect	
10	prejudices the ability of that party from later cha	allenging such a designation of confidentiality.	
11	However, all parties must continue treating such	documents and things as confidential under this	
12	Stipulation until a court determines that such do	cuments and things are not confidential.	
13			
14	Dated: <u>April 10, 2015</u>		
15			
16	/s/ Albert H. Kirby, Esq Albert H. Kirby, Esq.	<u>/s/ Jeffrey I. Pitegoff, Esq.</u> Jeffrey I. Pitegoff, Esq.	
17	Washington Bar No. 40187	Nevada Bar No. 5458	
1/	Admitted Pro Hac Vice	Carrie McCrea Hanlon, Esq.	
18	SOUND JUSTICE LAW GROUP, PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300	Nevada Bar No. 3902 Christopher A. Turtzo, Esq.	
19	Seattle, Washington 98103	Nevada Bar No. 10253	
20		MORRIS SULLIVAN LEMKUL &	
	and	PITEGOFFF 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway	
21	Philip S. Aurbach, Esq.	Suite 170	
22	Nevada Bar No. 1501	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	
23	Candice E. Renka, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11447	Attorneys for Defendants	
23	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	Autorneys for Defendants	
24	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jeremy Bauman		
26			
27			
28			
		N AND ORDER	
	- Page	- 4 of 7 -	

	Case 2:14-cv-01125-RFB-PAL Document 48 Filed 04/10/15 Page 5 of 7
1	_/s/ Payam Shahian, Esq
2	Payam Shahian, Esq.
3	California Bar No. 228406 Admitted Pro Hac Vice
4	STRATEGIC LEGAL PRACTICES, APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700
5	Los Angeles, California 90067
6	and
7	Matthew R. Mendelsohn, Esq. New Jersey Bar No. 015582005
8	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
9	MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC 103 Eisenhower Parkway
10	Roseland, New Jersey 07068
11	and
12	Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq.
13	Nevada Bar No. 14625 Paul C. Williams, Esq.
14	Nevada Bar No. 12524 BAILEY KENNEDY
15	8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Bijan Razilou
17	
18	
19	
20	///
21	///
22	///
23	
24 25	///
23 26	///
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND ORDER - Page 5 of 7 -

	Case 2:14-cv-01125-RFB-PAL Document 48 Filed 04/10/15 Page 6 of 7		
1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	For the reasons stated in the parties' Stipulation, the Court adopts the parties' Proposed		
3	Orders as orders of this Court.		
4	A. Amendments to Discovery Scheduling Order (ECF Doc. # 35)		
5	1. The discovery deadlines for the Class Discovery Phase are:		
6	a. Close of Class Discovery Phase is July 31, 2015; and		
7	b. Deadline to file Motion to Certify Class is August 31, 2015.		
8	2. The parties shall conduct depositions of witnesses David Saxe and Ric Schaaf by		
9	May 14, 2015.		
10	B. Protective Order		
11	1. Any document or thing which the parties mutually agree in writing to be		
12	confidential shall not be used for any purpose that is not related to the present litigation.		
13	2. Personal, identifying information of Defendants' customers shall be redacted from		
14	such confidential documents and things when filed with the Court except when filed under seal		
15	together with a motion requesting the filing to remain under seal.		
16	3. As agreed by the parties, the following shall be deemed confidential:		
17	a. Text message transmission records obtained from Twilio, Inc.; and		
18	b. The three executable scripts and programs mentioned in Defendants'		
19	Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 1 together with the source		
20	code for these scripts and programs.		
21	4. A party's agreement to treat any document or thing as confidential in no respect		
22	prejudices the ability of that party from later challenging such a designation of confidentiality.		
23	However, all parties must continue treating such documents and things as confidential under this		
24	Protective Order until a court determines that such documents and things are not confidential.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Jean h. See		
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
28	DATED: _April 13, 2015		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER - Page 6 of 7 -		

	Case 2:14-cv-01125-RFB-PAL Document 48 Filed 04/10/15 Page 7 of 7
1	Respectfully submitted by
2	
3	MORRIS SULLIVAN LEMKUL & PITEGOFFF
4	
5	<u>_/s/ Jeffrey I. Pitegoff, Esq.</u> Jeffrey I. Pitegoff, Esq.
6	Nevada Bar No. 5458
7	Carrie McCrea Hanlon, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3902
8	Christopher A. Turtzo, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10253
9	3770 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 170
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendants
11	Autorneys for Defendants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND ORDER - Page 7 of 7 -