gather and review voluminous files and court records. Burgon's counsel states that respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court is familiar with the background of this case; respondents filed much, if not all, of the state court record on May 13, 2015 (ECF Nos. 9, 10, 11), and the court has examined those records. This is not an unusually complex habeas corpus action. The court does not approve of the amount of time required for petitioner to amend his petition in this case. However, in the interests of justice, the Court will grant this motion for extension of time.

The court will not be inclined to further extend this deadline absent extraordinary circumstances.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 32) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner shall have until and including March 28, 2017, to file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

Dated this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE