$\|$

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	CLAY MERRITT BURGON, Case No. 2:14-cv-01128-RFB-CWH
6	Petitioner,
7	v. Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time for Reply
8	
9	BRIAN WILLIAMS, SR., et al.,
10	Respondents.
11	
12	In this habeas corpus case, Respondents filed an answer on December 3, 2018 (ECF No.
13	73). After a 60-day extension of time, the petitioner, Clay Merritt Burgon, was due to file his
14	reply by March 18, 2019. See Order entered May 15, 2017 (ECF No. 42) (45 days for reply);
15	Order entered January 17, 2019 (ECF No. 75).
16	On March 18, 2019, Burgon filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 76),
17	requesting a second extension of time – this one 30 days, to April 17, 2019 – for the reply.
18	Burgon's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in
19	other cases. The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds
20	that Burgon's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose
21	of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested. The Court will grant
22	this second extension of time, as requested.
23	The Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

Dockets.Justia.com

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF
2	No. 76) is GRANTED . Petitioner will have until April 17, 2019 , to file his reply.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
4	proceedings set forth in the order entered May 15, 2017 (ECF No. 42) will remain in effect.
5	
6	DATED this <u>18th</u> day of March, 2019.
7	Ð
8	
9	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2