UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ALFONSO GARCIA,

Case No. 2:14-cv-01137-APG-CWH

Plaintiff.

Order Accepting Report & Recommendation and Dismissing Case

V.

(Dkt. #17)

TRUGREEN LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, et al.,

Defendants.

On September 26, 2014, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach entered his Order and Report & Recommendation [Dkt. #17] recommending dismissal of all of Plaintiff's claims. No objection has been filed to that Report and Recommendation. Thus, I am not required to conduct "any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The Ninth Circuit has confirmed that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objection has been filed. *See United States v. Reyna—Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) ("[T]he district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise."); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D.Ariz. 2003) (Based on *Thomas* and *Reyna—Tapia*, "district courts are not required to conduct any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Because there is no objection to Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's recommendation, I may accept it without review.

Nevertheless, I have reviewed the issues set forth in the Report & Recommendation. In that document, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach sets forth the proper legal analysis, and the factual basis, for his decision. Therefore,

////

///

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report & Recommendation [Dkt. #17] is accepted, and this case is DISMISSED. The clerk of the court shall enter Judgment accordingly.

Dated: December 22,2014

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE