| 1 | |
|--------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 5 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 6 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 8 | JESSICA BARRAZA, |
| 9 | Petitioner, 2:14-cv-01185-APG-PAL |
| 10 | VS. |
| 11 | ORDER J. GENTRY, <i>et al.</i> , |
| 12 | Respondents. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | In this habeas corpus action, on February 9, 2016, the respondents filed an answer |
| 16 | (ECF No. 19), responding to the remaining claims in Jessica Barraza's habeas corpus petition. |
| 17 | Barraza's reply to the answer is due on April 12, 2016. See Order entered December 11, 2016 |
| 18 | (ECF No. 18) (60 days for reply). |
| 19 | On March 7, 2016, Barraza filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 20), requesting |
| 20 | that the time for her to file a reply be extended to July 29, 2016. Barraza states that the extension of |
| 21 | time is necessary because of the limited time that she, and another prisoner who is assisting her, |
| 22 | have access to a law library at the prison where they are incarcerated. The court finds that |
| 23 | Barrraza's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of |
| 24 | delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time. The court will grant the |
| 25 | motion for extension of time. |
| 26 | |
| | |

| 1 | However, given the length of this extension of time, the court will not be inclined to further |
|----|---|
| 2 | extend this deadline absent extraordinary circumstances. If Barraza does not file a reply by |
| 3 | July 29, 2016, the court will rule on the merits of the remaining claims in her habeas petition |
| 4 | without the benefit of a reply. |
| 5 | On March 7, 2016, Barraza also filed a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 21). |
| 6 | Barraza previously requested appointment of counsel in a motion filed on July 27, 2015 (ECF |
| 7 | No. 13). The court denied that motion on August 4, 2016 (ECF No. 16). There has been no |
| 8 | significant change in circumstances with respect to Barraza's request for appointment of counsel. |
| 9 | The court will deny Barraza's March 7, 2016, motion for appointment of counsel for the reasons |
| 10 | stated in the order entered August 4, 2016 (ECF No. 16). |
| 11 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF |
| 12 | No. 20) is GRANTED . Petitioner shall have until and including July 29, 2016 , to file a reply to |
| 13 | respondents' answer. |
| 14 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF |
| 15 | No. 21) is DENIED . |
| 16 | Dated: March 9, 2016. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |